


# PORT DALHOUSIE COMMERCIAL CORE AND HARBOUR AREA HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT PLAN

27/03/2018



415-21 NASSAU STREET  
TORONTO, ON M5T 3K6

2509 WINDERMERE ROAD  
WINDERMERE, ON P0B 1P0

Approved by OLT Case No. 22-003062  
08/08/2022

© City of St. Catharines, 2018

Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation District Plan (2018 Plan) supercedes the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Guidelines for Conservation and Change 2001 (2001 HCD Plan) for the sub-district within the 2018 HCD Plan Boundary.

Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Heritage Area Conservation District Plan was prepared for the City of St. Catharines by Catherine Nasmith Architect in co-operation with Macaulay Shiomi Howson and Brook McIlroy.

Design, layout, maps, illustrations, typography by Brook McIlroy and Macaulay Shiomi Howson.

This document was updated on August 8, 2022 to reflect the settlement of Ontario Land Tribunal Case No. 22-003062. All changes to the Plan have been noted within the text and reflect the minutes of the settlement.

# 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document constitutes a Heritage Conservation District Plan for a sub-district of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District, the Commercial Core and Harbour Area. It was developed concurrently with a Secondary Plan Study for a larger area that included lands east of the Sub-District and small portions of the adjacent residential areas.

Outside the Commercial Core and Harbour Area, the existing HCD Plan is operating to the satisfaction of the municipality, but because of strong interest in new development that was not anticipated when the HCD plan was drafted in 2001, the municipality wanted to update heritage protection in the Commercial Core and Harbour Area. The 2005 Ontario Heritage Act does not require a municipality to conduct a Study prior to adopting an HCD Plan for an area.

The preferred approach to the update is to draft and adopt a new HCD plan for the Core Commercial and Harbour Area under 41.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act, 2005.


The 2000 Heritage Assessment contained a detailed history of the Commercial Core and Harbour Area and will continue to serve as the background document (Study) for the 2018 HCD Plan. Relevant sections of the 2001 HCD Plan are brought forward into this 2018 HCD Plan. Supplementary explanatory material such as photographs, mapping, and notes are incorporated into this HCD Plan. This 2018 HCD Plan includes all additional sections required under the 2005 Ontario Heritage Act, ie Objectives of the Plan, (4.0) Statement of District Significance, (7.0,1,2,3) description of the heritage attributes of the Sub-District (7.4,5 9.0) and properties in the Sub-District, additional policies, guidelines and procedures for meeting the objectives

and managing change in the Sub-District, (10, 11) and a description of alterations, and maintenance matters that may be carried out within the Sub-District without obtaining a heritage permit (10.2)(11.4). As well, Zoning and Official Plan policies that apply to the District have been reviewed and recommendations included in the Sub-District Plan (5.1, 10.7), concurrent with the Secondary Plan Study.


Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation District Plan (2018 Plan) supercedes the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Guidelines for Conservation and Change 2001 (2001 HCD Plan) for the Sub-District within the 2018 HCD Plan Boundary.

## Definitions

Term	Definition
<b>2001 HCD Plan</b>	Heritage Conservation District Guidelines for conservation and change, adopted by Council by By-law No. 2002-180 for the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District
<b>2018 HCD Plan</b>	Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation District Plan, for the Sub-District of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District known as the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour District Area (See maps, page 9 and 10)
<b>HCD Plan</b>	Heritage Conservation District Plan
<b>Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District</b>	Heritage Conservation District governed by By-law No. 2002-180
<b>Sub-District</b>	A portion of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District described as the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour District Area, Map on Page 10, Plan showing Adjacent Area Boundaries



2001 Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Boundary



2018 Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation District Plan (Sub-District Boundary)

### Ontario Heritage Act (OHA) Requirements

41.1(5)	OHA Plan Requirement	Report Section
a.	a statement of the objectives to be achieved in designating the area as a heritage conservation district;	4.0
b.	a statement explaining the cultural heritage value or interest of the heritage conservation district;	7.0,1,2,3
c.	a description of the heritage attributes of the heritage conservation district and of properties in the district;	7.4,5; 9.0, 1,2,3
d.	policy statements, guidelines and procedures for achieving the stated objectives and managing change in the heritage conservation district; and	10, 11
e.	a description of the alterations or classes of alterations that are minor in nature and that the owner of property in the heritage conservation district may carry out or permit to be carried out on any part of the property, other than the interior of any structure or building on the property, without obtaining a permit under section 42. 2005, c. 6, s. 31	10.2, 11.4
40.2(d)	OHA Plan Requirement	Report Section
40.2(d)	make recommendations as to any changes that will be required to the municipality's official plan and to any municipal by-laws, including any zoning by-laws. 2005, c. 6, s. 29.	5.1, 10.7

# 2.0 TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>2.0 TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>3.0 BACKGROUND TO THIS HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT PLAN</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1 2000 HERITAGE ASSESSMENT	1
3.2 2001 HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT PLAN (2001 HCD PLAN)	1
3.3 DE-DESIGNATION OF PROPERTIES FORMERLY DESIGNATED UNDER PART IV OF THE ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT	1
3.4 STATUS OF THE 2001 HCD PLAN	2
3.5 TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR UPDATE OF HCD PLAN	3
3.6 PROCESS OPTIONS CONSIDERED	5
3.7 OPTION 2: PREFERRED APPROACH TO HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT UPDATE	6
<b>4.0 OBJECTIVES OF THE PORT DALHOUSIE COMMERCIAL CORE AND HARBOUR AREA HCD PLAN (SUB-DISTRICT)</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5.0 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1 CITY OF ST. CATHARINES	9
5.2. PROVINCIAL POLICY STATEMENT (PPS) (2014)	11
5.3 THE ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT REQUIREMENTS FOR THE HCD STUDY AND PLAN	11
5.4 ARCHAEOLOGY	12
<b>6.0 CULTURAL HERITAGE LANDSCAPE - HISTORY, CHARACTER AND TYPOLOGY</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1 GENERAL HISTORY OF PORT DALHOUSIE	13
6.2 HERITAGE CHARACTER	13
6.3 ARCHITECTURAL STYLES	20
6.4 CULTURAL HERITAGE LANDSCAPE-DISTRICT TYPOLOGY	22
<b>7.0 STATEMENT OF SUB-DISTRICT SIGNIFICANCE</b>	<b>23</b>
7.1 STATEMENT OF DISTRICT SIGNIFICANCE CANADIAN REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES	23
7.2 DESCRIPTION OF HISTORIC PLACE	23
7.3 STATEMENT OF CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE	23
7.4 HERITAGE CHARACTER STATEMENT	24
7.5 HERITAGE ATTRIBUTES OF THE SUB-DISTRICT	27
<b>8.0 SUB-DISTRICT BOUNDARIES</b>	<b>29</b>
8.1 BOUNDARIES PORT DALHOUSIE COMMERCIAL CORE AND HARBOUR AREA HERITAGE CONSERVATION SUB-DISTRICT	29

<b>9.0 SUB-DISTRICT ATTRIBUTES AND CONTRIBUTING RESOURCES</b>	<b>30</b>
9.1 OVERVIEW	30
9.2 DEFINITIONS – CONTRIBUTING & NON-CONTRIBUTING PROPERTIES	30
9.3 HERITAGE INVENTORY, EVALUATION AND STATEMENTS OF CONTRIBUTION/ VIEWS, VISTAS AND VANTAGE POINTS	30
9.4 POTENTIAL PART IV DESIGNATIONS	63
<b>10.0 CONSERVATION POLICIES AND GUIDELINES</b>	<b>64</b>
10.1 OVERVIEW- CONSERVATION INTENT	64
10.2 AREAS AFFECTED BY THE GUIDELINES	64
10.3 BUILDING MAINTENANCE – GENERAL PRINCIPLES	65
10.4 BUILDING MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES	65
10.5 BUILDING GUIDELINES	69
10.6 LANDSCAPE/STREETScape GUIDELINES	77
10.7 ZONING IN THE SUB-DISTRICT	87
10.8 ADJACENT AREAS	88
10.9 IMPORTANT VIEWS, VISTAS AND VANTAGE POINTS	89
10.10 PLACE NAMES	89
10.11 ARCHAEOLOGY	89
<b>11.0 IMPLEMENTATION</b>	<b>90</b>
11.1 FEDERAL LANDS WITHIN AND ADJACENT TO THE SUB-DISTRICT	90
11.2 MUNICIPAL POLICY	90
11.3 ST. CATHARINES HERITAGE PERMIT PROCESS	90
11.4 WHEN NO HERITAGE PERMIT IS REQUIRED	91
11.5 DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO CITY STAFF AND MUNICIPAL HERITAGE COMMITTEE	91
11.6 HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATION CONTENT	92
11.7 WHEN CITY COUNCIL ISSUES HERITAGE PERMITS	92
11.8 APPEALING CITY COUNCIL’S DECISION	93
11.9 HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE	93
11.10 PROPERTY STANDARDS	93
<b>12.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>95</b>
APPENDIX A: OFFICIAL PLAN EXTRACTS	95
APPENDIX B: PROPERTY STANDARDS BY-LAW	101
APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL POLICY STATEMENT EXTRACT (2.6.1-5)	103

# 3.0 BACKGROUND TO THIS HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT PLAN

## 3.1 2000 HERITAGE ASSESSMENT

In 2000, the firm Archaeological Services Inc. (A.S.I.) completed The Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Study, Heritage Assessment Report (2000 Study) and determined that a large area of Port Dalhousie warranted protection as a Heritage Conservation District. Sub-consultants to A.S.I were Wendy Shearer Landscape Architect Limited and Unterman McPhail Associates.

The study, conducted for the City of St. Catharines, under By-Law No 99-380, pursuant to section 40 of the Ontario Heritage Act, examined the residential areas as well as the commercial core, the former industrial areas, harbour and public open spaces in parks, piers and harbour edges. It contained a detailed history of the area, focused on the economic history with themes related to the business activities as well as the people behind them that arose following construction of three progressively larger Welland Canals, (1829, 1851, 1881) as well as Port Dalhousie as a tourist destination, home of the Henley Regatta and Lakeside Park.

The 2000 Study included a rationale for the boundary of the District, along with a District Map that identified several different character areas within the boundary. It also described a rich variety of building types and styles, landscape features, streetscapes,

archaeological potential and open spaces that form a 19<sup>th</sup> century canal village, a unique cultural heritage landscape.

## 3.2 2001 HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT PLAN (2001 HCD PLAN)

The 2000 Study was followed shortly thereafter by Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Guidelines for conservation and change (2001 HCD Plan) conducted by the same consulting team with the addition of L. Alan Grinham Architect Inc. The 2001 HCD Plan included guidelines for material conservation, and appropriate change to heritage fabric and features, guidelines for additions and alterations to existing buildings and infill development on vacant lots, landscape conservation guidelines for public and private property, and planning and administrative guidelines, including guidelines for public work in the District.

On June 17, 2002 St. Catharines Council passed By-law No. 2002-180 to “*designate an area of the City of St. Catharines as a heritage conservation district under Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act and to repeal the designation under Part IV of the Act of certain properties within such area.*”

## 3.3 DE-DESIGNATION OF PROPERTIES FORMERLY DESIGNATED UNDER PART IV OF THE ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT

Within the 2001 HCD there were 10 properties that were designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. At the time of the passing of the HCD by-law, properties could be designated under Part IV or Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act, but not both. All but no. 9 Main Street, the Carousel building, were de-designated under By-Law 2002-180.

By-law #	Feature Address
78-351	Rectory, 82 Dalhousie Avenue
79-437	Jail, 11 Main Street
80-440	Commercial building, 18 Lakeport Road
80-441	Commercial building, 38 Lakeport Road
80-442/ 91-142	Lakeside Hotel, 16 Lock Street
83-127	Carousel 9, Main Street
95-430	Dalhousie House, 50 Lakeport Road
95-431	Locktender’s Shanty, 69A Lakeport Road
98-276	Dwelling 95, Main Street
2001-10	Dwelling 41, Main Street

These designation by-laws were repealed by St. Catharines City Council by-law 2002-180. By-law 2002-180 was amended by By-law 2003-63 to delete “paragraph 5, which reads *“That this By-law shall come into force upon receiving the approval of the Ontario Municipal Board.”*”

### **3.4 STATUS OF THE 2001 HCD PLAN**

#### **3.4.1 Changes to Provincial Policy**

Since the 2001 HCD Plan was adopted there have been several developments in provincial cultural heritage policy, notably

- 2005 Amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act
- Regulations and amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act since 2005
- Cultural heritage and archaeology policies in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014, including policies under which development on lands adjacent to protected property can be reviewed for heritage impact purposes.

The amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act (OHA) provided provisions under 41.1(1) permitting municipalities to adopt by-law an HCD Plan, provided it meets the requirements for an HCD plan set out in the OHA, which make an HCD plan’s objectives, policies, design guidelines and permit procedures enforceable, as opposed to

advisory only. The amendments also made it possible to protect property under both Part IV and Part V of the OHA.

#### **3.4.2 Changes to Official Plan and Zoning**

The City adopted a new Official Plan in 2010 which was approved by the Region of Niagara in 2012. The City adopted a new comprehensive Zoning By-law in 2013.

#### **3.4.3 Ontario Municipal Board Decision**

A February 26, 2009 decision of the Ontario Municipal Board (PL060850) issued by OMB Vice Chair Susan B. Campbell approved a large re-development on lands within the 2001 HCD Plan Boundary, permitting demolition of several structures and construction of a 3-5 storey base building with a 17 storey residential tower, along with commercial space, a hotel, a theatre and other community benefits including interpretive landscaping and redevelopment of the parking in Lakeside Park.

In the OMB Decision several weaknesses in the 2001 HCD Plan were noted:

- Dated pre-2005 and not subsequently adopted under 41.1(2) of the 2005 Ontario Heritage Act, the Guideline was advisory rather than mandatory.
- Flaws in implementation of the 2001 HCD Plan, ie. District Guidelines were adopted by Council but not adopted as part of

the Designating By-law, nor was the Guideline part of the material before the OMB when the Designating Bylaw 2002-180, which referred only to the District boundary, was appealed in 2003.

- Guidelines were focused on the residential area, and provided limited guidance regarding the commercial core area, particularly in regard to height, streetscapes, or which features and structures constituted the heritage attributes of the District.

#### **3.4.4 Port Dalhousie The Harbour Area Urban Design Study**

The study was conducted by Brook McIlroy in 2012, to provide mid to long term guidance to the municipality and property owners regarding potential infill development on these lands, building on the natural and cultural heritage of Port Dalhousie.

The study included a comprehensive public process that examined existing building fabric, important views to and from the harbour area, existing cultural heritage resources, evolution of the lands with the changing routes of the Welland Canals, potential infill development sites, parking locations, open space opportunities and linkages, provision of public access to waterfront areas, building design guidelines for materials and appropriate heights. It included recommendations for implementation and phasing.



The report has not been adopted by Council but has been used as a reference in the companion Secondary Plan Study prepared concurrently with this HCD Plan.

### **3.4.5 Proposals for Change in The Commercial Core and Harbour Area**

Within the Commercial Core and Harbour Area of Port Dalhousie there are several properties which are the subject of actual or potential re-development applications; Gary Road, 16 Lock Street, Royal Canadian Legion, and Maple Leaf Rubber Factory (Lincoln Fabrics). In addition, Lakeview Park is being

re-planned by the City of St. Catharines. The City is in the process of identifying appropriate new uses and operators for the municipally owned Dalhousie House, (Muir Brothers Dry Docks building).

### **3.4.6 Need to Update the HCD Plan**

All of the above factors led to the City of St. Catharines commissioning a new Secondary Plan Study and HCD Plan update for the Commercial Core and Harbour Area. Here follows extracts from the Terms of Reference and options considered for the “Update” to the HCD Plan.



*Plan from the 2012 Harbour Area Urban Design Study*

## **3.5 TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR UPDATE OF HCD PLAN**

The following are extracts for the Terms of Reference issued by the City of St. Catharines for the Update of the 2001 HCD Plan.

### **3.5.1 Objectives**

- To identify opportunities and provide guidance aimed at protecting and enhancing heritage assets within the study area, in conjunction with appropriate land uses;
- To examine how heritage assets can be integrated with existing planning approvals and future development;
- To ensure connectivity between improvements to natural and open heritage features with overall Secondary Plan objectives.
- To ensure that objectives for future development and objectives for preservation of heritage assets and place character are consistent with one another.

### **3.5.2 Process**

- To review the existing Guidelines policies pertaining to the Commercial Core and Harbour Area and evaluating their effectiveness and need for revision or expansion;

- Provide additional direction related to heritage preservation, existing planning approvals and future development in the Commercial Core and Harbour Area, including but not limited to, appropriate building height, massing, and streetscape relationships;
- Examine how the Guidelines can guide future land use planning approvals.

### 3.5.3 Products

- Provide the process required to update The Guidelines, including statutory requirements under the Ontario Heritage Act, that must be followed to formally implement changes to the District and Guidelines and requirements of the municipality in doing so.
- Preparation of draft addendum to the Port Dalhousie Heritage District Guidelines for Conservation and Change. This document will be appended to the summary report.

### 3.5.4 HCD Heritage Considerations

- The existing HCD Study and Guideline were passed before the 2005, 2009 changes to Ontario Heritage Act (OHA), do not meet current OHA Study or Plan requirements, and are advisory only.
- An addendum to the existing Guideline could be adopted using the same process as was used to create the Guideline, but would not achieve the additional protection available under the 2005 OHA, i.e. the amended Guideline (Plan) would continue to be advisory only.
- Properties formerly Designated Under Part IV within the District Boundary have been de-designated.
- The existing Plan includes Residential and Commercial areas, but offers minimal guidance for properties in the commercial area.
- The HCD boundary does not correspond to the current Study Area.
- The 16 Lock Street and 12 Lakeport Road OMB Decision identified weaknesses in the HCD plan, leading to demolitions.
- The process to amend an HCD Plan is not described in the Ontario Heritage Act or its regulations, and the steps to amend it would therefore need to be the same as creating a Heritage Conservation District Plan set out in Section 41.1.



*Port Dalhousie has a special character as a well preserved 19th century canal village  
(Catherine Nasmith)*

## 3.6 PROCESS OPTIONS CONSIDERED

### 3.6.1 OPTION 1: Leave existing Heritage Assessment (Study) and HCD Guideline (Plan) in place

- Augment with Part IV designations for remaining heritage buildings in the Commercial Area District in combination with New Secondary Plan.

#### Advantage

- Highly specific protection for the remaining heritage attributes in the Commercial Area.
- Avoids potential OMB appeal of a new HCD plan.
- Council controls the decision to Designate under Part IV, and designations may be appealed to Conservation Review Board. The CRB decision is advisory to Council, (Refusal of an Application to Demolish can be appealed by owner to OMB).
- Part IV protection could be applied to Cultural Heritage Landscapes, such as the parks.

#### Disadvantage

- Does not offer stronger overall District protection which is available under the 2005 OHA for elements such as streetscapes.

### 3.6.2 OPTION 2: Split the HCD into two Districts

- Amend boundaries.
- Separate the residential district from the commercial district, and possibly include east side of harbour.
- Leave the existing HCD Study in place for both Districts.
- Draft and adopt a new HCD Plan, which conforms to the requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act 2005, for the Port Dalhousie Commercial and Harbour area only (Sub-District).
- Leave the existing advisory Guideline (2001 HCD Plan) in place for the residential area.

#### Advantage

- Offers strongest protection available under the Ontario Heritage Act 2005, i.e. Council must not pass bylaws or undertake public work, that is “contrary to the objects set out in the plan”.

#### Disadvantage

- Once adopted by Council, the District Plan may be appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board.
- Leaves the Guideline (2001 HCD Plan) for the residential area with material in it that relates to the commercial area.



*Late 19th century view of Lock Street, note muddy character of street and building, now missing next to the former Stanton Grocery and Post Office Building*

### 3.7 OPTION 2: PREFERRED APPROACH TO HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT UPDATE

The 2001 HCD Plan was not adopted as a Plan under section 41.1(2) of the Ontario Heritage Act. Bylaw 2002-180, later amended by 2003-63, designates an area of the City of St. Catharines as an HCD and also states *“the policies and guidelines in Section 1-6 of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Guidelines for conservation and change”*, (2001 HCD Plan) *“have been adopted by Council and the recommendations in Section 7 have been adopted in principle;”* The practice of St.

Catharines council and staff has been to apply these advisory provisions as if binding. The success of this approach has depended on the mutual cooperation and agreement of the City and the property owners to have regard for the heritage character of the District.

Outside the Commercial Core and Harbour Areas, the existing HCD Plan is operating to the satisfaction of the municipality, but for the reasons noted above in Status of the 2001 HCD Plan, there is strong interest in updating heritage protection in the Commercial Core and Harbour Area. The 2005 Ontario Heritage Act does not require

a municipality to conduct a Study prior to adopting an HCD Plan for an area.

The options investigated above were discussed with municipal planning and legal staff, staff at the Ministry of Culture, Tourism and Sport, the Port Dalhousie Conservancy and the general public at two public meetings. The preferred approach is to draft and adopt a new HCD plan for the Core Commercial and Harbour Area under 41.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act, 2005.

The 2000 Heritage Assessment contained a detailed history of the Commercial Core and Harbour Area and will continue to serve as the background document (Study) for the 2018 HCD Plan. Relevant sections of the 2001 HCD Plan are brought forward into this 2018 HCD Plan. Supplementary explanatory material such as photographs, mapping, and notes are incorporated into the 2018 HCD Plan. This 2018 Plan includes all additional sections required under the 2005 Ontario Heritage Act, ie Objectives of the Plan (4.0), Statement of District Significance (7.0,1,2,3), description of the heritage attributes of the Sub-District and properties in the Sub-District (7.4,7.5,9), additional policies, guidelines and procedures for meeting the objectives and managing change in the Sub-District (10)(11), and a description of alterations, and maintenance matters that may be carried out within the Sub-District without obtaining a heritage permit (11.4).



Public Consultation Meeting

As well, Zoning and Official Plan policies that apply to the District have been reviewed and recommendations included in the District Plan (5.1, 10.7), concurrent with the Secondary Plan Study.

**The 2018 HCD Plan supersedes any contradictory references in the 2001 HCD Plan to the areas within the boundaries of the 2018 HCD Plan or adjacent areas as deFined in the 2018 HCD Plan.**

The 2001 HCD by-law is to remain in place to govern the remaining areas of the 2001 HCD Plan. To the extent that any of the previous by-law contradicts sections of the 2018 HCD Plan, the 2018 HCD Plan will govern, as set out in Ontario Heritage Act section 41.2 Consistency with Heritage Conservation District plan.

*41.2 (1) Despite any other general or special Act, if a heritage conservation district plan is in effect in a municipality, the council of the municipality shall not,*

*(a) carry out any public work in the district that is contrary to the objectives set out in the plan; or*  
*(b) pass a by-law for any purpose that is contrary to the objectives set out in the plan.*  
2005, c. 6, s. 31.

*Conflict*

*(2) In the event of a conflict between a heritage conservation district plan and a municipal by-law that affects the designated district, the plan prevails to the extent of the conflict, but in all other respects the by-law remains in full force. 2005, c. 6, s. 31.*

## 4.0 OBJECTIVES OF THE PORT DALHOUSIE COMMERCIAL CORE AND HARBOUR AREA HCD PLAN (SUB-DISTRICT)

The objectives of this HCD Plan are:

- to describe the cultural heritage value, heritage character, cultural heritage resources and heritage attributes of the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area;
- to propose methods to effectively protect, conserve and enhance the cultural heritage value, cultural heritage resources, heritage character and heritage attributes;
- to enhance the vitality of the Core Commercial Area by conserving its unique architecture and distinctive heritage fabric and its historic fine grained building and development patterns that have supported generations of diverse local entrepreneurs;
- to enhance the vitality of the Harbour Area by conserving its unique architecture and distinctive heritage fabric with buildings spaced widely in relation to the canal(s) and open water views;
- to ensure that Official Plan and by-laws, including zoning by-laws, encourage conservation of the cultural heritage value, heritage character, cultural heritage resources and heritage attributes of the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area;
- to develop guidelines for conservation of the cultural heritage resources, heritage fabric, both buildings and landscape, as well as restoration and interpretation of lost features in both the public and private realm, most importantly attributes which relate to the period of active canal operations in Port Dalhousie from 1829, through to the relocation of the Third Welland Canal entrance to Port Weller in 1932;
- to develop guidelines to maintain and enhance existing open space, sport and recreation areas that contribute to public understanding of the heritage attributes, as well as their conservation or restoration;
- to develop design guidelines which clearly define appropriate change, whether it is for altering existing buildings and landscapes or for new construction, both in the Sub-District and in areas adjacent to the Sub-District to ensure that new development, and construction, whether public or private, will have no adverse effects upon the heritage character and heritage attributes of the Sub-District and will positively contribute to the character of the area;
- to develop design guidelines for the streetscapes, and harbour edges to strengthen their heritage character;
- to ensure conservation of archaeological resources, with particular regard for marine archaeology, indigenous culture, traces or artifacts, industrial remnants, and extant resources of the Welland Canal(s);
- to recommend efficient implementation and management procedures.



Port Dalhousie Ontario, Artists impression of Front Street circa 1906 (Doreen Inglis, 2015)

# 5.0 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK


The Heritage Conservation District process is governed by provincial laws, as well as provincial and municipal policy. Below is set out the legislative framework for Heritage Conservation District Plans.

## 5.1 CITY OF ST. CATHARINES

### 5.1.1 Official Plan

The Official Plan for the City of St. Catharines (known as the Garden City Plan) was adopted by the Corporation of the City of St. Catharines on August 23, 2010 by By-

law No. 2010 - 242. The Plan received final formal approval by the Regional Municipality of Niagara on July 31, 2012 with certain modifications and deferrals made thereto. It was consolidated up to and including Amendment No. 12 which was formally approved on July 13, 2016 and as set out on Table 'A' of this Plan. Part C: General Policies contains policies regarding Cultural Heritage, Section 3, including policies regarding cultural heritage, designation under Part IV and Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act, heritage conservation easements, maintenance of publicly and privately owned heritage properties, archaeological resources, cultural heritage landscapes, cultural heritage impact assessments and implementation of the policies. The full text can be found in Appendix A.



Plan showing Sub-District Boundary and Adjacent Areas One and Two

### 5.1.2 Zoning in and Adjacent to the Sub-District

As part of the process of conducting a concurrent review of both the existing Official Plan policies and the 2001 HCD Plan and drafting a new Secondary Plan and HCD Plan for the Core Commercial and Harbour Area, the consulting team reviewed the existing Zoning and Official Plan provisions. The policy provisions in the companion Secondary Plan are drafted for consistency with the objectives of the HCD. The two adjacent areas (described below) are important in their close relationship to the Core Commercial and Harbour Area,

providing important related context as well vantage points for key views of the 2018 sub-district.

### 5.1.3 Areas Adjacent to Protected Heritage Property

For the purposes of applying the Provincial

Policy Statement 2.6.3 regarding *areas adjacent to protected heritage property* the following definition is provided in the St. Catharines Official Plan, Part F, Section 17.11

Adjacent Lands means those lands contiguous to a specific natural heritage

feature or area where it is likely that development, redevelopment or site alteration would have a negative impact on the feature or area; or those lands contiguous to protected heritage property. The Secondary Plan contains a supplementary definition of Adjacent Lands.

The Adjacent Area for the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area HCD is defined as indicated in the map below, generally described as properties in:

- **Adjacent Area 1:** the areas east of the Harbour including the piers and lighthouses yacht club included in the Secondary Plan Study, identified as adjacent lands because they contain important related heritage resources such as the lighthouses, federal piers, and public routes which offer vantage points for views towards the District.
- **Adjacent Area 2:** the areas in the 2001 Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Plan outside the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation Sub-District boundary which are governed by the existing Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Guidelines for conservation and change, or a successor HCD Plan for the adjacent area adopted under Section 41.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act.



Plan showing Adjacent Area Boundaries



## 5.2 PROVINCIAL POLICY STATEMENT (PPS) (2014)

The Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) provides direction on matters of provincial interest related to land use planning and development, and promotes the provincial “policy-led” planning system. The PPS is issued under the authority of Section 3 of the *Planning Act* and the current Statement was re-issued on April 30, 2014. The *Planning Act* requires that planning decisions on applications that are subject to the new PPS “shall be consistent with” the policies. PPS Section 2.6, as well as PPS terms and definitions are quoted in Appendix C.

## 5.3 THE ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT REQUIREMENTS FOR THE HCD STUDY AND PLAN

### The Ontario Heritage Act

The *Ontario Heritage Act* is the provincial Act that regulates the protection of heritage within the province. Part V of the Act gives the Municipality the responsibility for the designation of areas as Heritage Conservation District (HCD)s.

The procedure for designation of a district under Part V, as outlined in the Act, is as follows:

The Municipality identifies an area or areas to be examined for future designation

after consultation with its Municipal Heritage Committee (regarding the by-law). After examination of the study area, the Municipality may designate by by-law (HCD Plan) an HCD. If the by-law (HCD Plan) is not appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board, it comes into effect at the expiry of the appeal period. If appealed, a hearing is held by the Ontario Municipal Board and if approval of the Board is received, the municipal bylaw comes into effect.

Designation under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act* gives City Council control over the alteration and demolition of certain elements of all heritage attributes within a HCD in order to conserve heritage resources and maintain and enhance the heritage character of the district.

As described in this Plan, a process is carried out to ensure that securing Council approval is efficient and that fair, reasonable and manageable guidelines will be applied. The OHA prescribes the contents of the study required for the HCD and the HCD District Plan.

### SCOPE OF STUDY

40. (2) A study under subsection (1) shall, (a) examine the character and appearance of the area that is the subject of the study, including buildings, structures and other property features of the area, to determine if the area should be preserved as a HCD;

(b) examine and make recommendations as to the geographic boundaries of the area to be designated;

(c) consider and make recommendations as to the objectives of the designation and the content of the HCD plan required under section 41.1;

(d) make recommendations as to any changes that will be required to the municipality’s official plan and to any municipal by-laws, including any zoning by-laws. 2005, c. 6. s. 29.

## HERITAGE DISTRICT PLAN

41.1 (5) A HCD plan shall include,

(a) a statement of the objectives to be achieved in designating the area as a HCD;

(b) a statement explaining the cultural heritage value or interest of the HCD;

(c) a description of the heritage attributes of the HCD and of properties in the district;

(d) policy statements, guidelines and procedures for achieving the stated objectives and managing change in the HCD; and

(e) a description of the alterations or classes of alterations that are minor in nature and that the owner of property in the HCD may carry out or permit to be carried out on any

*part of the property, other than the interior of any structure or building on the property, without obtaining a permit under section 42. 2005, c. 6, s. 31.*

### **5.3.1 Requirements for Municipal Consistency with HCD Plan**

Under the *Ontario Heritage Act*, as amended by Bill 60, in March 2005 the HCD Plan binds the municipality as follows:

*41.2 (1) Despite any other general or special Act, if a HCD plan is in effect in a municipality, the council of the municipality shall not,*  
*(a) carry out any public work in the district that is contrary to the objectives set out in the plan; or*  
*(b) pass a by-law for any purpose that is contrary to the objectives set out in the plan.*  
2005, c. 6, s. 31.

### **CONFLICT**

*41.2 (2) In the event of a conflict between a HCD plan and a municipal by-law that affects the designated district, the plan prevails to the extent of the conflict, but in all other respects the by-law remains in full force.*  
2005, c. 6, s. 31

## **5.4 ARCHAEOLOGY**

The City of St. Catharines's Official Plan policies regarding archaeological requirements are set out in Appendix A and follow Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, the PPS 2014 along with more specific requirements regarding any potential projects in the vicinity of the former Welland Canals or areas of known archaeological deposits.

# 6.0 CULTURAL HERITAGE LANDSCAPE - HISTORY, CHARACTER AND TYPOLOGY

## 6.1 GENERAL HISTORY OF PORT DALHOUSIE

The former Town of Port Dalhousie has a long and multi-faceted history. Port Dalhousie's historical growth and development is intimately associated with nineteenth century port activities of canal development, commerce, industry and Great Lakes shipping. One facet of this past is bound up with the construction and operation of the first three Welland Canals, for which Port Dalhousie was the Lake Ontario terminal. Largely as a result of opening the Fourth Welland Canal and developing Port Weller

at the expense of Port Dalhousie, fortunes changed in the twentieth century.

As a town, it played a similar role with respect to the waterway as did, for example, Thorold, Port Robinson, Allanburg and Port Colborne. This role as “canal town” was manifest in the physical properties and characteristics of each town, however, Port Dalhousie stands out above all these other similar towns today, largely because its past is still so evident in the form and appearance that the town displays.


The Martindale Pond is located at the mouth of the Twelve Mile Creek, which, prior to Euro-Canadian settlement flowed unobstructed northwards from the Niagara Escarpment to the lake. Construction of the First Welland Canal in 1829, the Second Canal in 1851 and the Third Canal in 1881 together with dramatic changes to land form and natural water channels resulted in a dramatically changed delta environment.

## 6.2 HERITAGE CHARACTER

The heritage character within the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area heritage conservation district plan area, a sub-district of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District (See Map 1) is determined by a number of distinctive areas and key elements:

### Lake Ontario Shoreline and Martindale Pond

This northern and southern boundary of the Lake Ontario shoreline and Martindale Pond represents the remnant, residual landscape of the “original” environment prior to nineteenth century Euro-Canadian settlement and provides distinctive and obvious edges to the cultural heritage landscape of Port Dalhousie, notwithstanding that the delta area has been significantly altered through the development of the Welland Canal(s), subsequent industrial development and landfill which created significant park areas, there remains clearly visible the



Map showing plan of Port Dalhousie with First and Second Welland Canals, circa 1855, St. Catharines Public Library Collection

steeply sloping embankment that marks the transition from the elevated plateau of the premonitory and the former shoreline of Lake Ontario and the 12 Mile Creek Delta.

### **Canal, Port and Harbour Area**

Although altered from its nineteenth century origins, the port environment is a tangible reminder of the importance of the Welland Canal as a significant historical linkage through the Great Lakes shipping system and the companion industrial development of the port. Port Dalhousie is one of only two locations where features from the Second and Third Welland canals co-exist together.

Important remnant features include Lock 1 of the Second Welland Canal (1845), Lock 1 of the Third Welland Canal (1887), the weir and the Locktender's Shanty, as well as a high probability of archaeological remains of the First Welland Canal below Lakeside Park and its parking lot.

The remaining stone canal walls contain extremely large stones, carefully cut. Many of the former bridges and hardware of the lock operations are missing. Plant materials that have established themselves or been added as park features are contributing to the deterioration of stone surfaces.

The former canal and harbour area provide an excellent place for recreational boating, including sailing and canoeing, and could also support a return to commercial cross lake transportation.


### **The Commercial Core**

Inherently associated with the fortunes of the port, canal, and local industry, the commercial area centered on Lakeport Road (Formerly Front Street), Hogan's Alley and Lock Street is distinguished by its nineteenth century and early twentieth century architecture of two- and three-storey terrace blocks and individual hotels. These are built predominantly of red and buff brick in the Italianate style. Other buildings include the former Sterling Bank of Canada, the Port Dalhousie jail and a few 1920's structures. The character of the streets in the Commercial Core varies.


Lock Street is lined and framed on both sides with narrow fronted predominantly main street buildings, with retail or commercial uses dominating and has never had street trees. In places, verandahs provide shelter for pedestrians. Historically main street buildings offered residential accommodation on the upper floors and the window and façade arrangements of these buildings reflect the different uses of the floors.



*Contemporary View of Lock Street framed by two historic hotels, note verandahs (Catherine Nasmith)*



Goads Atlas Maps 1929, showing variations in settlement patterns between delta, plateau and embankment areas, Maps from Ontario Archives, DIN 10052550, 1,2



Fire Insurance Map of 1887, updated 1905 showing Commercial Core and Harbour Area, University of Western Ontario Archives



Contemporary 20th century post card showing 3rd Canal and Lake Ontario at Lakeside Park



*Tinted Post Card View showing Second Canal frontages, St. Catharines Public Library*



*Historic Image of Second Canal showing random placement of buildings in relation to canal operations, and utilitarian character of the industrial areas, image courtesy of St. Catharines Public Library*

Lakeport Road north of Rennie Park is lined on one side with fine grained narrow fronted main street buildings that face the canal edge, formerly offering services relating to the canal operations.

Hogan's Alley has evolved from being a service area for Lakeport Road to being an intimately scaled narrow minor street, pedestrian way offering a route down the embankment and views towards Lakeside Park and Lake Ontario. That character has encouraged businesses to open a second front onto the lane, augmenting the lane's service functions to business. It is paved



*Hogan's Alley with secondary business frontages (Catherine Nasmith)*

with asphalt reflecting its practical service role.

Main Street north of Lock Street, because of its steep slope and location next to a steep slope has historically been undeveloped save for the Port Dalhousie Jail at its lower end and buildings facing onto Lock Street at the top of the slope. The current alignment is wider and further west from the earlier more lane-like configuration.

#### **Industrial Remnants**

Directly associated with the port environment and shipping this area contains



*Jail surrounded by open area at north end of Main Street (Catherine Nasmith)*

a number of remnant industrial structures including the former administrative and service building for the Muir Brothers Dry Docks (circa 1865), 1890 warehousing (incorporated into the Royal Canadian Legion) and the former Maple Leaf Rubber Company factory constructed in 1900 (now Lincoln Fabrics). Rennie Park marks the location of the former Muir Brothers Dry Docks.

#### **Sport, Recreation and Open Space Areas**

The Henley Regatta facilities, Lakeside Park, Rennie Park as well as the public walkways that link canal elements and Harbour edges are all important and unique community spaces.

Lakeside Park was established in 1902 by the Niagara, St. Catharines and Thorold Railway on land reclaimed from marsh land and the remnant area of the First Welland Canal. Following its demise as an amusement park in 1969, the park is now an important part of the Waterfront Trail and marina environment, as well as a well-used and valued park space, an important component of the municipal parks' system.

Originally founded in 1880, the Royal Canadian Henley Regatta, which is now a preeminent North American rowing event, established a permanent home in Port Dalhousie in 1903 due in part to the facilities

afforded by the “Old” Welland Canal. It has enjoyed considerable success as a world class rowing events venue for over a century. The banks of Martindale Pond, (accessed by lands from Main Street between Brock and Ann Streets that were acquired in 1931), now accommodate the grandstand along Martindale Pond. The event is celebrated with a provincial plaque.

### **Rennie Park**

Rennie Park was created in the mid-70’s, by infilling the former Muir Bros Dry Dock and sections of the Second Welland Canal. It also links to the embankment around the edge of



*Beginning of west Harbour Walk (Catherine Nasmith)*

Martindale Pond, and contains undisturbed areas of the embankment. It provides excellent vantage points from which to view the heritage attributes from both the delta level as well as the plateau level on the west side of Martindale Pond. It is a relatively level property, reflecting its former use as a dry dock and canal and that it is filled land. It contains public walkways, a large grassed lawn, a monument to the Muir Brothers businesses, as well as Dalhousie House. It connects via a bridge across Martindale Pond to Jaycee Park. Apart from Dalhousie House and the embankment, its heritage attributes are primarily archaeological,

buried remnants of industrial operations and structures, the dry dock, and the Second Welland Canal.


### **Harbour Walks**

There are several pedestrian and cycling routes through the harbour area in the Sub-District and in adjacent lands which offer important kinetic views to and from the Sub-District. The routes and views are described in Section 9.3.2. The canal edges are paved in concrete in character with their former use as docking areas for large commercial lake vessels and loading and unloading passengers.




*Historic image of rowing in harbour, Maple Leaf Rubber building in background (St. Catharines Public Library)*





*Historic image showing the Second and Third Welland Canal alignments, industrial buildings including Maple Leaf Rubber, Muir Brothers Dry Dock, former warehousing, as well as shops facing the Second Canal edge. Note that Front Street connects to Ontario Street (now Lakeport Road) over a narrow concrete bridge. Ontario Street had a different alignment to the current Lakeport Road. Ontario Archives DIN10026770*



*Tinted postcard view of Lakeside Park note streetcar alignment, St. Catharines Public Library*

## Lakeport Road

Lakeport Road is a relatively wide street with sidewalks and cycle routes providing the principle access to the community as well as a series of important introductory views to the Sub-District which are described more fully in Section 9.3.2. It has been moved and changed in response to changing canal routes.



*Commercial Building (former Dwyer's Grocery) in Italianate style built on the former Front Street, 18a Lakeport Road, has a well preserved shop front typical of the style (Catherine Nasmith)*

See Section 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution for more detail on individual properties and their contribution to the HCD.

## 6.3 ARCHITECTURAL STYLES

The commercial core area has a number of commercial buildings, some recent, some from the late 19<sup>th</sup> century. The predominant style for the nineteenth century buildings is Italianate, found in several of the surviving “main street” buildings such as the Murphy Building and the former hotel buildings. A notable exception is the former Stirling Bank building on Lock Street a simple handsome Edwardian building. In addition, there are a few vernacular frame commercial buildings, such as one at 48 Lakeport Road south of Lock Street, the former Johnston and Son's Shoes and boots with a false front, another, the Stanton Grocery Building at 12 Lock Street with a front facing gable. The building styles are similar to commercial buildings found in many Ontario communities, but the survival of many of the verandahs creates a distinct local streetscape.

### 6.3.1 Italianate

Popular in Ontario for both residential and commercial buildings, the Italianate architectural style is characterized in residential buildings by low-pitched hip roofs with wide overhanging eaves and decorative cornices and brackets, often paired. The tall, often paired, narrow window openings usually have either arched, curved or the traditional rectangular heads. U-shaped window crowns often with brackets, simple pedimented crowns or complete decorated surrounds may decorate the window heads. The window sash generally has one over one or two over two lights. One and two story bay windows are also typical design features. The L-shaped plan of the Gothic Revival architectural style was often adapted with Italianate features including distinctive dichromatic brick accents such as quoins.

The majority of the Ontario main street commercial buildings constructed in the late 1800s and early 1900s adopted design features of the Italianate style. They included rounded windows, projecting top cornices with brackets, decorative dichromatic brickwork, rhythmically placed upper window openings with decorative surrounds. The ground floor typically has elaborate commercial fronts with arched windows, transoms, wood mouldings, columns and a storefront cornice separating it from the upper floors.

### 6.3.2 Vernacular Frame

Simple, modest frame commercial buildings such as one at 48 Lakeport Road south of Lock Street, the former Johnston and Son's Shoes and boots with a false front, another, the Stanton Grocery Building at 12 Lock Street with a front facing gable. Sometimes built as a quick and less inexpensive way to house a business, nonetheless some exhibit fine shop fronts, wood cornices and verandahs.



*Two storey vernacular frame commercial building, 28 Lakeport Road, simple form with historic shopfront and veranda*

### 6.3.3 Edwardian (Classicism)

Edwardian is often associated with the reign of Edward VII but has its roots in an earlier reaction to elaborate Gothic Victorian styles. It can be found in buildings dating from just before the turn of the 20th century until the end of World War I. Classical symmetry and detail supplanted the romantic picturesque Victorian asymmetry. In Ontario Edwardian Classicism combines both American and English Classical and French/American Beaux Arts influences.



*Former Stirling Bank building, 1907, simple handsome brick commercial building, with heavy wood cornice*

The simple robust style is handsome rather than pretty, with plain brickwork and hipped roofs supported on bracketed cornices often with dentil ornamentation. It can be found in more elaborate classicist forms on larger commercial buildings with exaggerated stone lintels, string courses, carved stone entablatures, and classical stone detailing. It is found in grand houses for the wealthy, but its sensible planning and construction suited builders looking to deliver modest, less expensive housing, commercial and factory buildings to the mass market.

In Port Dalhousie it is represented in the handsome yet modest Stirling Bank (1907) building on Lock Street and the robust Maple Leaf Rubber Factory building of 1898.

## 6.4 CULTURAL HERITAGE LANDSCAPE-DISTRICT TYPOLOGY

Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area is a cultural heritage landscape as defined in the Provincial Policy Statement in that it is an altered landscape with structures and buildings that has been identified as having cultural heritage interest and value to the community, having been protected as an HCD for its unique canal village landscape, streetscapes including buildings, canal structures and extant topographic features.

The Ontario Heritage Tool Kit defines several potential district typologies: designed, associative and evolved. Evolved Districts are divided into those that are static and

those that continue to evolve (dynamic). Evolved dynamic (evolving) districts which include those that have evolved over a long period of time and where the process of evolution is ongoing. The physical Form and attributes of such Sub-Districts exhibit the process of past development and maintain a continuum with the past to meet the needs of the present (and future) community.

Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area is an “evolved dynamic (evolving) district” that contains traces of three routes of the Welland Canal, including some of the piers, three former locks from each of the routes, archaeological remains of the canals as well as former industrial structures, public open space areas that have been created by filling former water ways or marsh areas, surviving commercial

buildings as well as industrial buildings that relate to former Canal routes, and large sections of the founding landforms. Many former buildings have disappeared through demolition or fire and been replaced, and many of the surviving buildings have been altered through time. The District Plan will conserve the elements that deliver the sense of time and place of the canal village, yet permit compatible change and infill.

## 7.0 STATEMENT OF SUB-DISTRICT SIGNIFICANCE

### 7.1 STATEMENT OF DISTRICT SIGNIFICANCE CANADIAN REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

The 2001 HCD Plan adopted by By-law 2003-63 District did not contain a Statement of District Significance, but one was drafted to include with the listing of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District on the Canadian Register of Historic Places: 2007/12/12. For the 2018 HCD Plan, The Statement of District Significance has been modified to be consistent with the boundaries of the 2018 Sub-District, to include additional information, as well as augmented with a District Heritage Character Statement.

### 7.2 DESCRIPTION OF HISTORIC PLACE

The Port Dalhousie Core Commercial and Harbour Heritage Conservation District is a cultural heritage landscape situated at the northern end of a narrow peninsula bordered on the north and west by Lake Ontario, on the south by Martindale Pond and on the east by the Port Dalhousie Harbour: it is a sub-district of the 2001 Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District, recognized for its heritage value by the City of St. Catharines By-law 2003-63.

This sub-district includes: the original commercial core of the port and canal village with its mid-to-late-19th century commercial and industrial buildings;

commercial buildings oriented along Lock Street and the edge of the second Welland Canal; industrial and jail buildings expediently sited in relationship to water transportation and industrial operations; the embankment between the lakeshore(s) and the plateau that offered healthy building sites; the lower First Welland Canal route and its archaeological remains, the former marsh and shore areas filled to create Lakeside Park including its sandy beach area; remnants of an important canal-era shipyard filled to become Rennie Park; and the archaeological remains and entry locks of the original three Welland Canals (1826, 1848, and 1885).

### 7.3 STATEMENT OF CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE

The heritage value of the Port Dalhousie Core Commercial and Harbour Heritage Conservation Sub-District lies in its representation of the history and development of the commercial, industrial and recreation/tourism areas of the village of Port Dalhousie, from its inception as an important canal terminus sited at the junction of the 12 Mile Creek Valley and Lake Ontario, becoming a service location for the first three Welland Canals, and finally to a period of ferry and light rail serviced recreational and light industrial use in the early 20th century.

Port Dalhousie is among the best-preserved 19th-century canal villages in Canada, displaying unique streetscapes, road patterns, broad vistas and open views between buildings oriented to the canals, piers and lighthouses, boats in the harbour, and shorelines. It is also an area of high archaeological potential containing remnants of the three Welland canals and foundations of former industrial buildings. Even though the land has been much disturbed, as a former junction between waterways, and in the traditional territory of the Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation, there may also be Indigenous Peoples' archaeological deposits.

Beginning in 1826 as the northern entry of the First Welland Canal, the village of Port Dalhousie developed and prospered as the Lake Ontario terminus and service provider for the Second and Third Welland Canals until 1932. From the 1830s to the 1960s, shipbuilding, servicing and repairs were important industrial components, recognized throughout the Great Lakes region by the shipping industry.

Port Dalhousie has also been a popular tourist destination for over a century. Lakeside Park was established in 1902 as a private amusement park. Visitors were transported by ferries and light rail, most notably for Emancipation Day picnics held in the park for the Canadian and American black communities. The village is also

associated with the historic Royal Canadian Henley Regatta, which has been held here annually since 1903.

The Port Dalhousie Core Commercial and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation Sub-District preserves the historic street plan with its orientation to the canal(s) and

harbour, the shipyard and the lakeshore. The commercial core, the canal(s) and harbour area, the embankments, Lakeside Park and the beach, recreational boat mooring areas and the Royal Henley Regatta course have all been maintained as important heritage components.

There have been recent demolitions in the Commercial Core and Harbour area of buildings that had been substantially altered or were deemed not suitable for repair which have modified the legibility of the Port Dalhousie cultural heritage canal village landscape. The remaining structures from the period of significance have increased heritage value as authentic heritage attributes, and are therefore commensurately more important to conserve in their totality and in-situ.

Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area have contextual value conveying a strong sense of the original time and place of the development and operations of the Welland Canal(s) and harbour area during its period of heavy activity between 1826 and 1930's.

#### 7.4 HERITAGE CHARACTER STATEMENT

The cultural heritage landscape of Port Dalhousie's Commercial Core and Harbour Area is one of a unique 19<sup>th</sup> century canal village that evolved at the intersection of the natural features of the Lake Ontario shoreline and the 12 Mile Creek Valley. The founding landscape, still evident and important to an understanding of the historic place, was one of a promontory above the shoreline of Lake Ontario where it met 12 Mile Creek. The promontory (plateau) offered excellent residential, institutional and




Diagram illustrating the general zones of delta, embankment and plateau

commercial building sites with views to the water, sites that benefitted from the cleaning and cooling effects of prevailing winds.

The lower shore levels and marsh areas (delta), evolved as a harbour and canal entrance(s) with the three successive Welland Canal routes; offering changing water access and building sites serving shipping and industry. Those sites were oriented to the three succeeding canal(s) edges. Of the former workplace buildings located along the First Welland Canal (aligned east west at northern edge of the embankment), only the stone jail (lock-up) remains, sitting as shown in early mapping, in an open area isolated from other buildings.

Following the construction of the Second Welland Canal, landfilling activities in the marshy areas at the delta level created new land, initially occupied by small frame buildings. At the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, Lakeside Park was developed by the Niagara St. Catharines and Toronto Railway as a private amusement park, which was a destination for streetcar passengers. Recreational buildings like picnic shelters, also a baseball diamond, sandy beach, amusement park rides and midway occupied the property. From the park, there is access to the promenade on the long pier providing vistas across the lake, to the harbour and village. Lakeside Park was taken over by the City of St. Catharines in 1969 and is now a public park retaining some of the features

of the former amusement park such as the carousel in its own protective building.

The residential areas (adjacent) were located on the plateau, industrial and tourism areas at the delta level, with commercial buildings developing between, some at the canal and shore level, some along Lock and Main Streets on the plateau.

Streets on the plateau (adjacent) are organized in an orthogonal grid with streets ending in open views to the water or harbour. On the lower level, roadways, canal edges, building sites are organized along the shifting water and rail transportation routes. The built character is predominantly one of low-rise buildings, 2-3 stories organized to serve the evolving commerce and industrial landscape of the 19<sup>th</sup> canal village. The single exception to the 1-3 storey heights is the Maple Leaf Rubber Building, now Lincoln Fabrics, a key landmark and one of a few surviving buildings from the canal industrial era. Lakeport Road (Ontario) once passed directly west of the building under a bridge connecting to another wing (demolished) located immediately to the west.

The embankment is visible at its north and east edges and has posed challenges and opportunities to buildings dealing with the grade changes. Buildings on Lakeport Road are set into the slope, some offering second floor entrances onto Hogan's Alley.

The commercial buildings are a mixture of vernacular brick and frame construction, some exhibiting modest Italianate detailing. A unique feature on several of the surviving 19<sup>th</sup> century commercial structures is the wooden verandah along the street edge, originally providing protection for sidewalks. The verandahs and walkways, provided by the private property owners, were a sharp contrast to the unpaved public streets.

The industrial buildings are sited irrespective of the orthogonal grid of the plateau in ways that were most expedient for the operations of the varied businesses, leaving archaeological remnants as well as the former Muir Brothers Dry Dock building (Dalhousie House), the Maple Leaf Rubber building and some portions of the Legion building that were sited in relation to current and former water transport.

Commercial rail, streetcar lines and cross Lake Ontario shipping and passenger services once served the area, delivering passengers to the privately constructed Lakeside amusement park. Commercial buildings along Lakeport Road, formerly called Front Street, were sited along the Second Welland canal, and this relationship is still clearly evident north of Lock Street where a canal wall remains. The commercial buildings south of Lock Street also served the Second Welland canal, but landfill following the relocation of the canal and a subsequent re-alignment of Lakeport Road

has obscured their relationship with the Second Welland Canal.

While Port Dalhousie is not currently a destination for commercial shipping or interurban ferries, private recreational boating benefits from the harbour landscape; sailboats, two yacht clubs and yacht moorings dominate the south end of the harbour. The long piers that shaped the former canal entrance provided excellent mooring, and offer potential docking locations should there be a return to interurban marine transportation, such as hovercraft or other types of ferries.

A large parking lot in Lakeside Park has been in the same general area at the canal edge since the development of Lakeside Park, as part of a transfer point between automobile, rail, streetcar and water transport. The parking continues to serve both businesses and tourism.

Lakeport Road has evolved as a service and access road to the commercial and harbour area of the village, changing alignment with the re-routing of the Welland Canal, the removal of streetcar and railway tracks and subsequent landfill of the Muir Brothers Dry Dock lands to create Rennie Park. Lakeport Road crosses between the Harbour (Third Welland Canal) and Martindale Pond on a series of bridges and causeways at the head of the first lock of the Third canal. From that crossing are visible to the north

the Locktender's Shanty, St. Catharines Generation (hydro), the first lock of the Third Welland Canal and its weir, as well as views to Maple Leaf Rubber Factory, the two piers and light-houses, and glimpses of the commercial buildings at the harbour edge. To the south of the bridge is a clear view of Martindale Pond, Rennie Park and beyond to the viewing stands for the Royal Canadian Henley Regatta on the embankment slope. Lakeport Road's alignment continues towards Lakeside Park offering wide and open views to different features and the waterfront.

The other streets in the Core Commercial and Harbour Area have distinct characteristics reflecting their historic evolution.

Lakeport Road as it enters the area is described above. The short row of commercial buildings from Lock Street north are the last of the buildings that once lined the edge of the canal, and are very important to delivering the sense of time and place of the formerly bustling canal related transfer activities and small businesses that once occupied them.

Lock Street, named for the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal that is clearly visible at its east end, is an intimately scaled commercial street lined with modest 1-3 storey commercial buildings, two were former hotels, one a bank. While it is a very short main street, it has many typical main

street characteristics, a variety of buildings on small lots, independently owned, offering locations for an evolving variety of independent businesses. The two most easterly buildings on the south side of the street were built after 1929 and are oriented to Lock Street. Street trees are generally absent from Lock Street. Sidewalks are raised above the roadway on the south side. Street lighting, wooden telephone poles also reflect the pragmatism of this commercial area that evolved as much to serve visiting mariners as area residents.

There are few historic images of Main Street north of Lock Street. It cuts through the embankment, providing a vehicular route from Lock Street to the former First Canal level, (Lakeside Park). Early mapping reveals the steep terrain, likely why few buildings have been sited facing onto Main Street. It is a flanking street for the residences on the west side, and recently demolished commercial buildings on Lock Street. It provides an open view from Lock Street to Lakeside Park and the lake beyond.

The street with no name connects Lakeport Road to Main Street at the level of the First Welland Canal, serving the parking lot. There may be archaeological deposits of the First Welland Canal beneath it.

Rennie Park is another park developed on landfill, in this case of the former dry dock of the Muir Brothers commemorated on



a plaque located in the park. It is a wide level grassy area offering open views to Martindale Pond, and to the Henley Regatta grandstands. At the south end of the park, it connects across a bridge to Jaycee Park, following the route of the former 12 Mile Creek and the Second Welland Canal.

## 7.5 HERITAGE ATTRIBUTES OF THE SUB-DISTRICT

### Heritage Attributes

Heritage Attributes that exhibit and contribute to the heritage value of the Port Dalhousie Core Commercial and Harbour Area Heritage Sub-District include:

### FOUNDING LANDSCAPE

- Location on the peninsula between Lake Ontario to the north and Martindale Pond to the south, including the plateau, delta, and the sloped embankment between them.
- Legible distinction in the landscape, streetscape and building patterns on the “plateau”, “delta”, and “embankment” areas .
- The embankment from the former Lakeshore and Creek edge is still evident between Lakeside Park and Rennie Park and the plateau, in the rise on Lock Street and Canal Street, and in the slope of Main Street and Hogan’s Alley.

### VILLAGESCAPE

- The historic street plan bordering the canals, harbour and lakeshore, particularly Lock Street, Main Street and Lakeport Road north of Lock Street (formerly Front Street). Lakeport Road south of Lock Street has been re-routed and filled with the changes in canal routes and other initiatives such as the creation of Rennie Park.
- Pedestrian scale of the streetscape in the core commercial area.
- Canal village streetscapes in the commercial core, comprised of mid-to-late 19th century, one, two and three storey vernacular buildings, some with Italianate influences; these include, among others; the Jail (1845); Lion Hotel-formerly Wellington House (1877); Murphy’s Restaurant (1885) and Lakeside Hotel -formerly Austin House Hotel (1896), as well as several smaller commercial buildings along Lakeport Road (See 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution) .
- The fine grained property sub-division of commercial properties on Lock Street, and the west side of Lakeport Road offering opportunities for a variety of small independent businesses
- Open and irregular placement of industrial buildings in relationship to former water courses and transportation routes.

- Hogan’s Alley, a service lane for the former Front Street, now Lakeport Road, properties. The service lane has evolved into a pedestrian scaled route from Lock Street towards Lakeside Park. It offers remnants of the embankment slope and views out towards the lake.
- Low rise commercial buildings on the “delta” area oriented on Lakeport Road to the former Second Welland Canal.
- Views within, from and towards the HCD.

### BUILDINGS

- Shipyard building (Dalhousie House) c. 1865.
- Canal side industrial buildings (presently Lincoln Fabrics) c. 1900 and historic remnants of earlier structures in the much altered Legion Building.
- Low-rise commercial buildings organized along Lock Street and the west side of Lakeport Road in a traditional main street relationship.

## CANAL RELATIONSHIPS

- Structures directly related to the canal's era of Port Dalhousie 1820's to the present, such as the entry locks of the first three Welland Canals, The Jail, Locktender's Shanty, harbour and piers.
- Remnants of the first Welland Canal visible underwater offshore as well as buried in Lakeside Park. Archaeological remnants outside of the boundaries of the Sub-District, ie under Lake Ontario must be conserved through separate archaeological measures.
- Surviving entry Locks from the 2nd and 3rd Welland Canals
- Archaeological remains of the First Welland Canal
- Pier and canal edges providing docking for boating as well as promenade routes

## TOURISM

- Historic park space and beach area at Lakeside Park, with vintage 1890's Carousel
- Rennie Park in filled area of former Muir Brothers Dry Dock
- 1903 historic recreational area of the Royal Canadian Henley Regatta and grandstand

The heritage attributes are described in more detail in the Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution (See Section 9.3).


## 8.0 SUB-DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

### 8.1 BOUNDARIES PORT DALHOUSIE COMMERCIAL CORE AND HARBOUR AREA HERITAGE CONSERVATION SUB-DISTRICT

The boundaries of the sub-district are shown on the attached plan. The sub-district

boundary of The 2001 HCD Plan, for the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation Sub-District Plan, captures the commercial properties along Lakeport Road and Lock and Main Streets, the former industrial areas in the harbour area, canal remnants and parks

and open spaces located on the “delta” area where Lake Ontario met the mouth of 12 Mile Creek, as well as remaining sections of the embankment between the plateau and delta areas. It excludes the residential areas of 2001 HCD Plan.



Boundary of the Port Dalhousie Commercial and Harbour Area Heritage Conservation Sub-District Plan

Consideration was given to expanding the Sub-District Boundary to include lands east of the Harbour under study for the Secondary Plan. It was decided not to include those lands in the 2018 HCD Plan because the heritage attributes of those areas are either protected through archaeology requirements of the Official Plan and Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act and/or through the designations of the lighthouses under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. The lands east of the harbour included in the Secondary Plan Review as well as the areas from the 2001 HCD plan but not in the 2018 HCD Plan are defined as Adjacent Lands for the purposes of applying 2.6.1 - 2.6.5 of the PPS 2014.

Adjacent Lands are described in Section 5.1.2.1 Areas Adjacent to the Sub-District. Properties in this portion of the Sub-District which are defined as non-contributing to the heritage character of the area in Section 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution, are included within the Sub-District Boundary so they are subject to the Sub-District Guideline should alterations and/or new construction be proposed.

# 9.0 SUB-DISTRICT ATTRIBUTES AND CONTRIBUTING RESOURCES

## 9.1 OVERVIEW

All properties in the sub-district are included in the HCD and are subject to the 2018 Heritage Conservation District Plan. Public property, in particular paving, lighting, trees, curbs, and other landscape features in the public realm are included in the Sub-District and proposed changes to these features are also subject to the Sub-District Guidelines.

## 9.2 DEFINITIONS – CONTRIBUTING & NON-CONTRIBUTING PROPERTIES

Through a literature review and photographic analysis by the heritage architect, all the properties in the area were assessed as either “contributing” or “non-contributing” to the heritage character of the Sub-District.

### 9.2.1 *Contributing*

Properties with features that define the heritage character and which retain sufficient original heritage attributes to allow for preservation or restoration.

Where this Plan makes reference to the rear of contributing properties, it is intended to mean the area immediately behind existing buildings.

### 9.2.2 *Non-Contributing*





Properties which do not have heritage character defining features or heritage fabric.





## 9.3 HERITAGE INVENTORY, EVALUATION AND STATEMENTS OF CONTRIBUTION/ VIEWS, VISTAS AND VANTAGE POINTS




The general heritage attributes of the Sub-District are identified in the Statement of Significance. Here follows a more detailed Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution for properties in the Sub-District which sets out, their address, a brief Statement of Contribution for each property with a capsule history, discussion of buildings and structures and dates of construction where known, categorizing the property or structure as contributing or non-contributing, and identifying the heritage attributes of the property which are important to conserve. In addition to private properties, the collective impact of groupings of buildings are assessed in streetscape descriptions.





In assessing the parks, minor utilitarian structures are not described or recorded, and for the purposes of the District Plan these should be considered non-contributing features.

PROPERTY INFORMATION CHART (9.3.1)





Street Numbers	Photo	Builder	Original Owner	Date	Style	De-Designated	Contributing or Non Contributing	Statement of Contribution
	<b>LOCK STREET</b>							
1				c1920	Moderne-much altered		N	Built during the 1920's, this commercial building located on land at the top of the former embankment is an appropriate scale and form for the Core Commercial area. This structure could be replaced with another of similar scale that met the District Guideline.
5	Anderson Shoes 		Anderson's Shoes	c1920			N	Built during the 1920's, as Anderson Shoes, this commercial building located on land at the top of the former embankment is an appropriate scale and form for the Core Commercial area. This structure could be replaced with another of similar scale that met the District Guideline.
9	Stirling Bank 		Stirling Bank of Canada	1907	Edwardian		C	Former Stirling Bank, (now Balzac's Coffee) becoming Standard Bank in 1924, the Canadian Bank of Commerce in 1930, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce 1962. As one of two surviving pre-1920 structures on the south side of Lock Street it is important to preserve insitu and whole. It has had several other commercial uses since 1993. A handsome Edwardian building, its' heritage attributes include its' wooden corn ice on two principal facades, its brickwork, window placement on second floors, principal entrance door with flanking woodwork.
15				c2000	Contemporary Commercial		N	Visual assessment suggests that this is a relatively recent commercial building. While an appropriate form for the Core Commercial area this structure could be replaced with another that met the District Guideline.

15	<p>Wellington Hotel</p>  <p>St. Catharines Public Library photo collection, c1920</p> 			c1880	Italianate	By Law 2002-180	C	<p>Former Wellington Hotel, (now the Lock Street Brewing Company), the site was purchased in 1872 by Marcia Dixon. In 1877 Richard Dixon opened the Wellington Hotel as a saloon, becoming a hotel in 1902. The building has operated as an ice cream parlour, with a back pool room. Since 1957 named the Lion Hotel. Photo c 1920 show a verandah over the street, with railings, a modest cornice, as well as a gas pump. As one of two surviving pre-1920 structures on the south side of Lock Street it is important to preserve insitu and whole. Its heritage attributes include its regular 5 bay polychromatic brick upper stories, including voussoirs over the windows, corbelling at the cornice line, a single storey frame verandah, the ground floor entrance door opening. The ground floor window openings and glazing have been altered, but early photos and postcards provide sufficient evidence for restoration.</p>
17	<p>Lock and Main Marketplace</p> 			2004	Contemporary Commercial		N	<p>Lock and Main Street Marketplace, a relatively recent commercial building. While an appropriate form for the Core Commercial area this structure could be replaced with another that met the District Guideline.</p>
19	<p>Scorecard Harry's</p> 			c 1989			N	<p>Scorecard Harry's Kilt and Clover, a relatively recent commercial building. While an appropriate form for the Core Commercial area, in brick with a verandah, this structure could be replaced with another that met the District Guideline.</p>


	<p>Lock Streetscape from Main Street</p> 							<p>A short yet significant block, with a narrow paving width framed by one to three storey mixed commercial, hotel, residential buildings. Named for the street's relationship to the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal at its eastern end. The current acorn style street lighting with wooden poles and above ground wiring has been in place since 1960's. Heritage attributes of the streetscape are the narrow paving width, the slope of the former embankment to the canal level, (12 Mile Creek delta present in early maps, pre-Welland Canal construction), and to the higher plateau west of Main Street, the one to three storey buildings framing the street and the open view out to the former canal landscape on the east.</p>
16	<p>Vacant Site</p> 							<p>Vacant Site, former structures, such as the Hyrdro Building and Erskine's Pharmacy, and the Rum Jungle were demolished for the 16 Lock Street Development. Early photographs and mapping show this site undeveloped through much of its early history. New buildings which meet the District Guideline are encouraged.</p>
16	<p>Austin House Hotel</p> 		John Harrigan	1896	Italianate and Vernacular	By Law 2002-180	C	<p>The former Austin House Hotel, currently vacant, was the first hotel in the area to have indoor plumbing and power. The hotel was purchased in 1977 by Arthur Smith, who made interior renovations, renaming it the Lakeside Hotel. It has also been altered as apartment suites. <b>It was designated in 1980 and as one of the two remaining 19th century buildings facing onto the north side of Lock Street it is important to conserve in situ and whole. The removal of rear additions to the original core building may be supported subject to submission of an updated Heritage Impact Assessment and Conservation Plan (HIA) and approval of a Heritage Permit. The HIA is to incorporate an adaptive re-use strategy considering accessibility requirements and commemoration plan for the original structure (OLT Case No. 22-003062).</b> Heritage attributes include; the 3 storey brick with irregular four bay elevation separated by brick pilasters, a door out to the steel bracket supported balcony/ canopy, the upper two stories with cornice and hood-moulded brick voussoirs over the windows, (windows are replacement but follow the original sash form.), ground floor alcove entrance with painted transoms and large panelled doors (the ground floor glazing is currently obscured by signage). <b>Architectural evidence suggests that the original building likely incorporated a second storey balcony on the Lock Street facade. The reintroduction of this feature is encouraged to support the adaptive re-use of the building, subject to the balcony being consistent with the architectural style and period of the building (OLT Case No. 22-003062).</b></p>


12	<p>Stanton Grocery Bldg.</p>  <p>St. Catharines Public Library Coll'n, c 1970</p> 	James Stanton	1897	Frame Vernacular		C	<p>Stanton Grocery and Post Office (Little India Restaurant) replaced a former grocery store operated by Oscar Sharpe since 1875. It was bought by Nino and Giovanni Donatelli in 1978, renovated and operated as a craft store. As one of the two remaining 19th century buildings facing onto the north side of Lock Street, it is important to conserve insitu and whole. Its heritage attributes include; its simple two storey frame structure, front facing gabled shed roof, open roofed two storey verandah with wood railings, window openings, and central door. <b>The removal of later additions to the original core building may be supported subject to submission of an updated Heritage Impact Assessment and Conservation Plan (HIA) and approval of a Heritage Permit. The HIA is to incorporate an adaptive re-use strategy considering accessibility requirements and commemoration plan for the remaining original structure (OLT Case No. 22-003062)</b></p>
<b>HOGAN'S ALLEY FROM LOCK STREET</b>							
	 <p>Illustration from pg 171 Port Dalhousie Heritage Resource Inventory</p> 					C	<p>Hogan's Alley has evolved from a service lane occupied by several small service buildings such as ice houses and outhouses for the nearby taverns to a minor street supporting secondary commercial frontages and several small buildings. Buildings addressing Lakeport Road and Lock Street have secondary commercial frontage on Hogan's Alley. It's intimate scale has been compromised by demolitions. Nonetheless its retains the heritage attributes of some buildings framing its edge and provision of a secondary route from Canal Street to the top of the embankment and down to the former shore edge, with a gradually approach to a view down to the site of the First Welland Canal, Lakeside Park and Lake Ontario beyond. New buildings could be added facing onto Hogan's Alley in accordance with the District Guideline.</p>





38 Lakeport Road	<p>Murphy Building</p> 						C	<p>Murphy Building. The side elevation of the building along Lock Street is secondary to the Lakeport Street elevation, with more random, functional placement of windows and doors. As the building was altered to become a restaurant. The side door, now the main entrance may have served several former tenants of the building.</p>
<b>LAKEPORT ROAD</b>								
57	<p>Royal Canadian Legion Building</p>  			c.1870 (Part) 1900- -c. 1950-5			N- see notes	<p>Former Maintenance Building and Works Yard (Royal Canadian Legion). Portions of the present building, (L shape) date from 1870's but have been much altered. It has housed a blacksmith shop, machine shop and also been used for storage for the Henley rowing club. The Crown granted the land and building to the Trustees of the Canadian Legion #350 in 1951, and it has been used as a pub and poolhall for members. In the historic photograph the former building can be seen. The north west side of the building dates to the early 1950's. Some surviving industrial remnants of the building used to service canal components remain incorporated into the building. Fenestration patterns have been much altered. The pre 1900 fabric has heritage value. Prior to issuing a demolition permit the municipality may require a Heritage Impact Assessment to describe means by which surviving historic fabric will be conserved or commemorated in a replacement project.</p>
61	<p>Pier 61 Restaurant</p>  <p>Photo credit: St. Catharines Standard</p>			c. 2000	Contemporary		N.	<p>A contemporary commercial building. The existing Pier 61 restaurant is non-contributing and may be replaced with a building that fits the Guideline.</p>



63	<p>Maple Leaf Rubber Company</p> 			1898	Edwardian		C -see notes	<p>The Former Maple Leaf Rubber Company Building (Lincoln Fabrics) is the largest remaining structure from Port Dalhousie's industrial past, and is highly visible in several key views. It formerly had a second wing and tower. The business was on the site from 1886, employing as many as 300, the present building was built after an 1898 fire. From 1915 to 1927 it was operated as Canadian Consolidated Rubber company, closing after opening of the Fourth Canal ended shipping to the site. Since then it has been occupied by several industrial enterprises, Lincoln Fabrics from 1955 to 2016. It contributes to the District as the largest surviving industrial building, as an important landmark visible from several vantage points, with heritage attributes including its relationship to the former Welland Canal, its Edwardian brick facades, regularly spaced double hung windows with elliptical tops on all facades, the large brick chimney, the brick corbelling at the roof line, the traces of the former Maple Leaf Rubber Company sign on the brickwork, loading doors and gates, as well as traces of the former above grade walkway-link. It is important to conserve whole and insitu. Early photographs indicate a cornice on the east side, now missing. Modern additions are non-contributing and may be demolished.</p>
52					Vernacular	By Law 2002-180	C	<p>Dalhousie House, former Muir Brother's Dry Dock. Five Muir brothers operated their business here from 1850-1946, the longest continuous business in Port Dalhousie. It became the Port Dalhousie Shipyard until 1953, when it was sold and the name changed to Garmont Supply, and then the Port Weller Dry Dock Limited, operated until the early 1960's. The building's industrial purpose and the Muir family's Scottish roots are reflected in the simple shed form, in brick and stone. The building contributes to the District by its age, built during its original industrial purpose and form, its simple shed roof. As one of the two remaining 19th century industrial canal buildings, it is important to conserve insitu and whole. Heritage attributes include the roof, the windows, the opening for the former loading doors, the stone and brick materials, the six diamond shapes in darker brick on its facade, and its site, set into the embankment reflecting its relationship to the former dry dock, and the Welland Canal (Also See Rennie Park).</p>





69a	<p>Lock Tender's Shanty</p> 			1887	Gothic Revival	By Law 2002-180	C.	<p>The Lock Tender's Shanty, twenty metres north of Lakeport Road, on the west side of the Port Dalhousie Harbour Walkway, is a small restored building that is the last remaining Lock Tender's Shanty from the days of the Third Welland Canal. It is important to preserve intact and insitue. The little building was used as a shelter for Canal employees who operated the lock, collected the usage fees, recorded the number and type of vessels, and oversaw the passage of ship traffic in and out of the Welland Canal.</p> <p>The Shanty was in poor condition in the late 1980s. In 1989, a group of students from Lakeport Secondary School repaired and restored the original heritage building, but it was soon vandalized again because of its out-of-the-way location. In the late 1990s, the Port Dalhousie Harbour Walkway was established next to the Shanty, making the boarded-up structure more accessible and visible to passers-by.</p> <p>A 1999 initiative to relocate the Shanty was criticized in the press as being disrespectful to the history and heritage of the Welland Canals. St. Catharines City Council rejected the request in March of 1999, and the Shanty has remained in its original location ever since. Heritage attributes include its siting, its visibility from the Harbour Walk and Lakeport Road, its wood board and batten siding, steeply pitched roof with wood shingles, two over two windows and decorative wood trim.</p>
-----	---	--	--	------	----------------	--------------------	----	---

	<p>Lock No. 1, Third Welland Canal</p> 		c. 1874				C.	<p>The Third Welland Canal served from 1875-1932. To increase traffic and the size of ships that could be handled. The third canal did not follow the Twelve Mile Creek, but took a more direct route across St. Catharines, by-passing Thorold towards Port Colborne. It was deepened to 40 feet and each lock enlarged to 270 feet long, 45 feet wide, and 14 feet deep. It contributed to a rapid increase in growth for Port Dalhousie. Heritage attributes of Lock No. 1, Third Welland Canal include the large stone walls, remnant hardware and gates, the adjacent weir as well as their siting on the former canal route. Consider re-instating missing elements such as gates and operating hardware for information purposes. Plant material and trees near the canal walls should be removed to prevent damage to the stonework.</p>
48	<p>Johnston and Son's Shoes and Boots</p> 		c1870				C.	<p>Johnston and Son's Shoes and Boots. The formerly two storey brick building has been extensively remodeled since its construction. Heritage attributes include its siting relating to the former Second Welland Canal, its wood frame construction and falsefront façade.</p>





52							N-see notes	<p>At first glance this group of buildings appears to be an infilled strip mall set back from Lakeport Road, but its siting reflects the historic position of former commercial buildings that related to the Second Welland Canal. It aligns with buildings fronting onto Lakeport Road north of Lock Street. With the exception of number 48 which retains 19th century original fabric, the buildings in this row are non-contributing but their siting at the edge and set into the embankment in relation to the former canal edge is important to conserve and contributes to conveying the sense of time and place of the canal village. These buildings could be replaced as set out in the District Guideline.</p>
1 Lock Street							N	See 1 Lock Street





LOCK STREET CROSSES								
	<p>Lock Number One, Second Welland Canal</p> 		c.1850				C	<p>Lock Number One, Second Welland Canal. The Second Welland Canal served from 1851 to 1875 when it was superceded by the Third Welland Canal. Lock Street takes its name from Lock Number One. There may also be archaeological remnants of the former lock under Lakeport Road. It is significant as a remainder of the canal history of the village retaining its stone walls, form and role as part of the current harbour docking facilities. Heritage attributes include the stone retaining walls and the lock-gate recesses. To avoid damage to stone work removal of plant materials is recommended. Re-construction of lock gates and bridging may be considered.</p>
38 Lakeport Road	<p>Murphy Building</p>  <p>Detail from undated postcard, St. Catharines Public Library Collection</p>			1885	Italianate	By Law 2002-180	C	<p>The Murphy Building, on the site of Former Wood House Hotel, (burned 1884) as well as several other earlier businesses, Johnson's Tavern(1820), Thompson Hotel, John Denton's Tailor shop, John Wood's grocery store. Built by Edward Murphy in 1885 as a ship chandlery, it has also been used for an agent for steamboats, telegraph, telephone and insurance. Since 1978 the building has been operated by Blake and Lillian Harley as a restaurant and small hotel. The adjacent frame structure replaces an earlier ice house. Constructed for a purpose related to shipping on the Welland Canal, the building's heritage attributes include the two storey brick facade, double hung wood windows evenly spaced along the second storey, brick corbelling suggesting a missing cornice, the corner entrance. The ground floor fenestration appears to have been altered. Restoration of the cornice and former ground floor fenestration patterns could be considered. The secondary elevation along Lock Street is discussed under Lock Street.</p>



28	<p>Murphy/Walkerly</p> 			c.1892-96	Vernacular, Front Facing Gable		C	<p>Mackie's Grocery - The building was constructed where the eastern part of the Wood House Hotel was situated. The lot was purchased by Edward Murphy in 1885 but was sold in 1892 to Agnes Fleming, a dry goods merchant, suggesting construction occurred between 1892-96. In 1895 it was sold to the Walkerly family, who owned it for 20 years. A number of merchants have operated from here. A simple timber front facing gabled building, which started with a single storey open verandah, later enlarged and enclosed. It's two storey verandah is an important feature and supports the unique Lakeport Road streetscape. As one of the few remaining early buildings, it supports the heritage character of this section of Lakeport Road, and is important to conserve whole and insitu. It retains its relationship to the canal and its simple form, a glazed commercial front with central door. Heritage attributes include, the two storey front gabled form, the verandah and its general facade arrangement reflecting a residence over a store. There is sufficient photographic evidence to restore the building should such an opportunity arise.</p>
26	<p>Walkerly Building</p> 			1865			C	<p>Old Port Dairy Bar, formerly the None-such Hotel, was operated by Joseph Walkerly. It later housed the first bowling alley in Port Dalhousie. It has been an ice cream parlour, a ladies clothing store and a bookstore. The façade has been considerably altered over time from brick, to brick faced with wood, to brick. Window openings have been altered. A former verandah has been removed. The building contributes to the District, built during the and continuously occupied by businesses serving tourism, with its brick facade, including the former door opening to provide verandah access, and is important to conserve whole and insitu. Restoration of the verandah and the elevation to an earlier shopfront and window openings could be considered.</p>




18a	<p>Dwyer's Grocers</p> 			c 1870's	Italianate	By Law 2002-180	C	<p>For Lease, Formerly Dwyer's Grocers, Stationers and Tobacco Inc. Reputed to one of the oldest storefronts in Southern Ontario, built by E.F. Dwyer, licensed as an agent for the Montreal Telegraph and American Express companies. It was the site of the former J&amp;M Rogers Butcher Shop. It was designated in 1980. The two storey building contributes to the District with a simple four bay Italianate polychromatic red and yellow brick facade, with corbelling for a missing cornice, two over two arch headed windows, brick voussoirs with small stone keystones. The ground floor wood and glass shopfront with wood cornice is significant to conserve with circular wood window frames, wood trim bracket and banding, entrance door to second floor with transom window above. The shop door appears to have been replaced. As one of the surviving 19th century structures it is important to conserve whole and insitu.</p>
14	<p>Stanton Bros.</p> 			1880			C	<p>Built as Stanton Bros. Groceries Fruit &amp; Company, (now Za Pizza Joint), this frame building with verandah has been used as a chandlery, hair salon, and telegraph office. The building contributes to the District in a two storey frame structure with festively decorated two storey wood verandah. The glazing pattern and decorative elements on the verandah have been altered. Restoration to original form should be considered. As one of the surviving 19th century structures it is important to conserve whole and insitu</p>
10A-12	<p>Empty Lot</p>  <p>Demolished- Port Mansion/Port Hotel</p> 							<p>The building that was on this site was demolished as part of clearing the 16 Lock Street Site for redevelopment. In 1936 the former 1860's McGrath House and Union House Hotels were combined into one, renamed the Port Hotel in 1953. It was known as the Port Mansion at the time of its demolition. Over its near 150 year history the structure was altered many times, with a historicist facade reconstruction in 1997. A verandah linking the two buildings is visible on the Fire Insurance Map of 1929, and was a key feature of the streetscape. Re-instatement of a verandah is encouraged in a replacement building, as set out in the District Guideline.</p>







	<p>Lakeport Streetscape</p>  <p>Mid 20th century photograph, St. Catharines Public Library Collection</p> 							<p>The Lakeport Streetscape, (formerly Front Street) preserves the early commercial buildings and hotels that were built to serve the Second Welland Canal. Its fine grained scale of building, reflecting multiple ownership and entrepreneurs is important to conserve whole, along with the views from inside the properties of the former Welland Canal and Lock 1. The construction of verandahs over the public sidewalk creates a unique streetscape.</p>
16	<p>Port Dalhousie Jail</p>  					De-designated By Law 2002-180	C	<p>Port Dalhousie Jail House was built immediately adjacent to the First Canal entry lock channel. It is one of the oldest jails in Canada, and it was built at a time when Port Dalhousie was a rowdy place. Through its history it has sat isolated from other structures, reflecting the social isolation of incarceration. It was designated in 1979 as a historic property. As the earliest extant building in the District, and the only remaining building facing the former First Welland Canal its location surrounded by open space is a significant heritage attribute along with the simple shed roof, stone walls, heavy door and lack of windows reflecting its purpose as a jail. The chimney represents the early wood fueled heating. (Prisoners were expected to supply firewood). Relocation of the Port Dalhousie Jail may be considered within Lakeside Park in the vicinity of the route of the First Welland Canal, subject to submission of an up-to-date Heritage Impact Assessment and Conservation Plan (HIA) and approval of a Heritage Permit. The HIA shall include a relocation plan and stabilization plan, commemoration plan, and site and restoration plan for the proposed new location (OLT Case No. 22-003062).</p>

<p>Lakeside Park</p>   <p>Sports Field at Lakeside Park File no.D417380, Niagara Falls Public Library Images Data Base</p> 	<p>Niagara, St. Catharines and Toronto Railway Company</p>	<p>1902</p>					<p>C</p>	<p>Lakeside Park is an evolving cultural heritage landscape, bounded by Lake Ontario on the north, the promenade of the former Welland Canal on the east, Gary Road at the embankment base along the south edge. It occupies filled land of the former Twelve Mile Creek delta. The first Welland Canal passed along the southern edge of the park, at the base of the embankment. As the canals were dredged the fill was put into the delta, gradually creating land that gave access to the sandy beach, and was occupied by several small buildings, small cottages and outbuildings. In 1902, the land was purchased by Niagara, St. Catharines and Toronto Railway Company to create an amusement park, including change rooms, concession booths as well as a baseball diamond and the carousel, accessed by streetcar. The development of Lakeside Park marked the beginning of Port Dalhousie as a summer resort area. The park was renovated in 1921 doubling the area to 12 acres, a thriving commercial operation more in the spirit of Coney Island or today's Canada's Wonderland than the current peaceful municipal park. Attractions included a water slide, bleachers for the baseball diamond, a covered picnic pavillion big enough for 3000, water bicycles and a midway that gradually grew. In 1950 Sid Brookson bought the park from the CNR. With the decline of steamship and rail service as well as increasing lake pollution the park lost popularity. The downward trend ended in 1969 when following a lawsuit by the park operators over sewage contamination at the beach, the City of St. Catharines took over the park. With the exception of the carousel the amusements were sold off. The heritage attributes of the park include the traces of the first Welland Canal visible at the surface as well as archaeological remains, the open landscaped areas, the long sand beach, trees, the carousel, and the paved edge along the canal.</p>
<p>The First Welland Canal</p> 							<p>C. (archaeology)</p>	<p>Recent archaeological investigation confirmed that remnants of Lock one of the First Welland Canal are buried at the south-west area of Lakeside Park. because Lakeside Park was created through landfill it is reasonable to assume that additional remains are present below the park and the associated parking lot. Archaeological remains are significant heritage attributes and shall be protected and conserved insitu.</p>

9	<p><b>Carousel Building</b></p>  <p>Photograph showing the original location of the carousel at east side of Lakeside Park, Collection St. Catharines Public Library</p> 	Niagara St. Catharines and Toronto Railway	1981, 1903 1921 (see text)	Post Modern		Designated under Part IV, Bylaw 83-127	C-see notes	<p>Carousel House. The brick building, constructed in 1981, by the City of St. Catharines is less important than what it houses. It mirrors the shape of the carousel in its roof lines and decorative finial, the side walls open up to display the intricate object inside. The carousel itself has had a history in Lakeside Park that began in 1921 when it was brought from Hanlan's point in Toronto by the Niagara, St. Catharine's and Toronto Railway to be part of the amusements offered at Lakeside Park that the company was developing in partnership with Canada Railway News. The carousel inside, built in 1903, is an important surviving example of a Loeff menagerie carousel with four rows, 69 hand carved wooden animals, including jumpers and standers. The site is owned and operated by the City of St. Catharines as a result of an important local movement to Save the Carousel when it was put up for sale in 1969. Funds were raised to purchase and restore it, and it was given to the City in 1970. When threatened with flooding in 1973 it was dismantled and stored. Local carvers have donated time to repairing the wood carvings. The carousel was moved to this location away from potential flooding and housed to protect it from the elements. The heritage attribute is the carousel itself, the building that houses it is non-contributing and may be replaced. It would also be permissible to relocate the carousel in a new housing in another location in the park.</p>
---	---	--	----------------------------------	----------------	--	--	-------------	---

<p>Rennie Park</p>  <p>View of Former Muir Brothers Dry Dock and Canal</p> 				1975		C.	<p>Rennie Park, named after prominent community volunteer Jim Rennie, is built on the site of the Muir Brother's Dry Docks as well as the First and Second Welland Canals. Early photographs show several buildings on the Muir Brother's Dry Dock Property, Dalhousie House being the sole surviving structure. The judges stand roughly marks the boundary between the canal and the drydock, from there an artificial tow path stretched across Martindale Pond allowing teams of horses to pull boats and barges along the canal. In 1903 a wood grandstand was built on the same area facing the rubber factory and overlooking the old Second Canal, the finishing line of the original Henley Course. The current grandstand is a later construction. The docks and canal were buried in the late 1970's at the same time Lakeport Road was straightened. Rennie Park contributes an important cultural landscape with hidden heritage attributes of canals and drydock traces evident in the plan and visible rusted metal pilings, lanyards and corrugated sheet metal that dot the park. Pathways, benches, flagpoles and landscaping are recent introductions and might be re-organized to better illustrate and commemorate the archaeological history that lies below the surface.</p>
<p>EAST HARBOUR (ADJACENT)</p>							<p>Adjacent to the Sub-District Boundary, the harbour as an open body of water, the piers either side of the harbour entrance and the lighthouses and walkways on the east side of the harbour have a significant contextual relationship to the District and are important in views from the Sub-District and as vantage points to the Sub-District. They are discussed in the Heritage Evaluation for reference purposes and to encourage their continued conservation.</p>
<p>Lighthouses</p> 							<p>The outer lighthouse and the pier are federally owned and administered. The inner lighthouse is owned by the municipality. They are outside the District boundary in an area adjacent to it. They have an important contextual and historic relationship with the HCD, but are identified and protected separate from the 2018 HCD. The lighthouses are designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. The piers are federally owned and maintained in co-operation with the municipality.</p>

	1879, c1898						In Adjacent Area	Lighthouses: The pair of lighthouses located on the eastern pier, one inner, one outer range light houses were read together to navigate into the Second Welland Canal. The inner range, octagonal lighthouse is the third in this location, the previous two were removed, the first built in 1852 by the Department of Marine, had become outdated and replaced in 1893, only to be destroyed by a lightning fire in 1898. The current inner range lighthouse was constructed immediately after the fire. The outer range lighthouse was constructed in 1879.
 <p>Photo credit: Lighthouse friends</p>	1879	Federal Gov't			Designated Part IV, 1997		In Adjacent Area	Outer Range Lighthouse, built at the same time as the Second Welland Canal, but busiest during the period of the third canal. It is one of the best preserved lighthouses on the Great Lakes. Square in plan, 9.5 m tall, it tapers to an octagonal lantern at the top. It was clad with aluminum siding in 1970. Its heritage attributes include its plan, timber construction, wooden exterior trim, wood frieze, woodbracketed lookout with metal railing, gabled doorway, for horn, lantern, green paint as defined by Coast Guard regulations. Now clad in aluminum, its original shingled siding should be restored.
		1898			Designated Part IV, 1997		In Adjacent Area	Inner Range Lighthouse, the present structure is the third lighthouse to be constructed on this site, replacing structures built in 1852, and 1893. A four storey octagonal structure tapering to an ornate cornice and 12 sided lantern. Its heritage attributes include its site on the east side of the canal, its octagonal plan, its height, its colour scheme to conform with coast guard regulations, its interior four stairs, lantern, windows, doors and dormers with projecting frame pediments, lookout, railing. Now clad in aluminum, its original shingled siding should be restored, along with hidden window openings.
<p>The Piers</p> 							In Adjacent Area	Public access to the piers is important to maintain. The simple concrete walking and driving surface conveys the industrial purpose of the canal edge. The heritage attributes include the wide concrete surfaces and surviving marine artifacts from port use such as boat docking equipment.



Views Analysis

### 9.3.2 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points

Views and vistas are important to understanding the cultural heritage landscape and are important heritage attributes of the Sub-District. Some views are from fixed vantage points, others are experienced kinetically, as individuals move through the landscape on foot, cycling or in vehicles experiencing a sequence or series of views and vistas. Important views, fixed and kinetic, along with general vantage points are identified in the map below. Photographs and text describe the important features of the views to clarify what aspects of the views are important to protect.

#### Kinetic Views

--- Continuous View Path

- ① Lakeport Road
- ② Harbour Walk/Waterfront Trail
- ③ West Harbour Edge
- ④ East Harbour Edge
- ⑨ Hogan's Alley

— Secondary Plan Boundary

#### Static Views

- ⑤ Rennie Park "Plateau"
- ⑥ South Rennie Park
- ⑦ East of Lock 1 Second Canal
- ⑧ East Federal Pier
- ⑩ Lakeport Road
- ⑪ Jail and foot of Main Street
- ⑫ Main Street
- ⑬ Lakeside Park
- ⑭ Lakeside Park Beach

## VIEW 1: LAKEPORT ROAD

A sequence of views experienced while in motion through the “delta”, (former port lands) representative of the progression along Lakeport Road, starting at the bridge and causeway over the Third Welland Canal and ending at the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal. The overarching experience in this kinetic sequence is of an open landscape with many water views occasionally interrupted by surviving buildings built in relation to former canal operations. The route provides an introduction to many aspects of the cultural landscape of the canal village of Port Dalhousie, most importantly to two of the three former Welland Canals, as well as Martindale Pond. The images and viewpoints chosen are representative of the kinetic sequence, but are not intended to be the only points of value in the sequence. The identified features may be experienced from other vantage points.



1a To the west a view across Martindale Pond to Rennie Park, and the embankment“ beyond (Google Earth)



1b To the north a view of the St. Catharines Generation station, an ongoing industrial use, the First Lock of the Third Welland Canal and its weir. (Google Earth)



1c To the north to the Locktender's Shanty and the entrance to the Harbour Walk (Google Earth)



1d To Maple Leaf Rubber across St.Catharines Generation (Google Earth)



1g Moving north, close views passing beside Rennie Park to the west and the embankment with commercial buildings built into the embankment and houses on plateau above. (Google Earth)



1e To the west a view across Rennie Park and beyond to the landscaped embankment and houses on the “plateau” (Google Earth)



1h View sequence ends with closed view At Lock Street, adjacent to First Lock of the Second Welland Canal and commercial buildings facing onto Lakeport Road and the former Second Welland Canal. (Catherine Nasmith)



1f looking east past the Legion building to the harbour, piers and lighthouses. (Google Earth)



## VIEW 2: HARBOUR WALK/ WATERFRONT TRAIL

A sequence of views experienced while in motion through the “delta”, (former port lands) representative of the progression along The Harbour Walk, offering views of St. Catharines Generation, The First Lock of the Third Welland Canal and its Weir, the Locktender’s Shanty, past and across the water to Maple Leaf Rubber Factory, and over the bridge to the site of the Legion Hall. The walk continues offering views of the Harbour including boats moored there and the east embankment of 12 Mile Creek, buildings on Lakeport Road, and the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal, ending in a panoramic view from the point including views of boats moored at the yacht club, the lighthouses in the distance, and the harbour entrance. The overarching experience in this kinetic sequence is of the canal landscape, including some buildings formerly associated with the former canal’s industrial activity. The images and viewpoints chosen are representative of the kinetic sequence, but are not intended to be the only points of value in the sequence. The identified features may be experienced from other vantage points.



2a Locktender's Shanty (Catherine Nasmith)



2b Weir of First Lock of Third Welland Canal (Catherine Nasmith)



2c Across First Lock to Maple Leaf Rubber Building (Catherine Nasmith)



2d First Lock of Third Welland Canal (Catherine Nasmith)



2g Nearing the end of Harbour Walk (Catherine Nasmith)



2e Path continues towards open view of Harbour and Legion (Catherine Nasmith)



2h Panoramic view from the end of Harbour Walk, boat mooring, buildings on Lakeport Road, Lakeside Park, Harbour entrance and lighthouses in distance. (Catherine Nasmith)



2f Open View of harbour, lighthouses in distance (Catherine Nasmith)

### VIEW 3: THE WEST HARBOUR EDGE


A sequence of views experienced while in motion along the west harbour edge and out along the west pier beginning at the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal. The walk offers sequential views of the historic canal commercial buildings, the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal, the open canal, the opposite bank, yachts moored in the harbour, the lighthouses and progresses out along the pier to open views of the founding landscape and open views of Lakeview Park, the beach and Lake Ontario. The overarching experience in this kinetic sequence is of the open water and canal landscape out to the harbour entrance, along the historic edge of the Second Welland Canal and the Port Dalhousie Harbour. The images and viewpoints chosen are representative of the kinetic sequence, but are not intended to be the only points of value in the sequence. The identified features may be experienced from other vantage points.



3a Boats moored in the harbour, and First Lock of the Second Welland Canal, as well as views to opposite bank. (Catherine Nasmith)



3b Commercial buildings facing the canal edge, view across to the opposite bank and lighthouses and out to the mouth of the harbour. (Catherine Nasmith)





*3c The canal and lighthouses on east side of canal/harbour.  
(Catherine Nasmith)*



*3e Similar to 3d, but showing end of the peninsula with  
the shore of Lake Ontario beyond. (courtesy City of St. Catharines)*



*3d From east pier back towards harbour and Lakeview Park, evident is the  
change in topography from the shore (delta) to the buildings clustered on the  
“plateau” with the landscaped “embankment” between.  
(courtesy City of St. Catharines)*

## VIEW 4: THE EAST HARBOUR EDGE (ADJACENT)

A representative sequence of views experienced while in motion along the east harbour edge and out along the east pier beginning at the east side of the First Lock of the Third Welland Canal. The walk, from vantage points in areas adjacent to the District, offers panoramic views across the harbour to the commercial core of Port Dalhousie to the Maple Leaf Rubber Factory, the historic canal commercial buildings, yachts moored in the harbour, passing the yacht club and the lighthouses and progressing out along the pier to open views of the harbour entrance and finishing with Static View 8, a panoramic view across Lake Ontario. From this walk a panorama of the founding landscape and the relationship between the delta, the embankment and the plateau can be seen. The images and viewpoints chosen are representative of the kinetic sequence, but are not intended to be the only points of value in the sequence. The identified features may be experienced from other vantage points.



4a Part view of Maple Leaf Rubber and the stone walls of the former Welland Canal. (Catherine Nasmith)



4b Along East Harbour Edge, docking and long view to Lakeside Park. (Catherine Nasmith)



4c Towards Lakeside Park and Harbour Entrance (Catherine Nasmith)



*4d Looking back towards Lakeside Park and Core Commercial and Harbour Area (Catherine Nasmith)*



*4e Towards Legion Building and Lakeside Park (Catherine Nasmith)*



*4f Towards Lakeside Park and Commercial Core Area. Maple Leaf Rubber is visible in the background, dominating the landscape. (Catherine Nasmith)*



*4g Inner Light House with Outer Lighthouse in background (Catherine Nasmith)*

## VIEW 5: RENNIE PARK “PLATEAU”

To the south, a view across Martindale Pond and the bridge linking Rennie Park to Royal Henley Park and Ontario Jaycee Gardens Park, on the former alignment of the Welland Canal.

An open view from the “plateau” edge in Rennie Park looking north east across Martindale Pond and Rennie Park to The Maple Leaf Rubber Factory, a clear view of the opposite embankment of 12 Mile Creek.



5a Maple Leaf Rubber Factory in distance (Catherine Nasmith)



5b Across Rennie Park to Martindale Pond (Catherine Nasmith)

## VIEW 6: SOUTH RENNIE PARK

An open view across Martindale Pond, the bridge to Jaycee Park and towards the filled former route of the Welland Canal.



6 Across Martindale Pond (Catherine Nasmith)

## VIEW 7: EAST OF LOCK 1 SECOND CANAL

A view framed by the 19<sup>th</sup> century commercial buildings on Lock Street down the slope to the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal.



7 Towards 19<sup>th</sup> century commercial buildings (Catherine Nasmith)





## VIEW 8: EAST FEDERAL PIER

Views from the east federal pier looking along the beach at Lakeside Park including the full length and height of the promontory and embankment as well as broad views of Lake Ontario (*Catherine Nasmith*).



8 From east federal pier



## VIEW 9: HOGAN'S ALLEY

A sequence of views experienced while in motion through Hogan's Alley, a lane that is an ongoing focus for commercial activity. Entering at the 'plateau' level the view is framed by the backs of the commercial buildings fronting on Lakeport Road. The route follows the lane down the "embankment" and then turns to give a view of the "delta" to Lakeview Park. The views would be enhanced by being framed by new development on the west side, and at the south east, replacing former buildings along this route. The images and viewpoints chosen are representative of the kinetic sequence, but are not intended to be the only points of value in the sequence. The identified features may be experienced from other vantage points.



VIEW 10: LAKEPORT ROAD

View of the row of commercial buildings, some former hotels facing the former Second Welland Canal, showing verandah rhythm, and alignment with shops south of Lock Street which once fronted more directly on the Second Welland Canal.



9a Entrance to Hogan's Alley with views of the secondary commercial frontages of the upper level of properties that also front onto Lakeport Road. (Catherine Nasmith)



10 Row of commercial buildings (Catherine Nasmith)



9b Part way down the 'embankment' Hogan's Alley shifts towards an open view of Lakeside Park and Lake Ontario beyond. Removal of a few trees would open the water view. (Catherine Nasmith)



## VIEW 11: JAIL AND FOOT OF MAIN STREET

The view of the Port Dalhousie Jail, the oldest surviving building in the District, and its open setting. Originally built on the dock edge of the First Welland Canal, historic mapping shows it isolated from surroundings with an open area around it. Relocation of the Port Dalhousie Jail may be contemplated within Lakeside Park in the vicinity of the route of the First Canal as part of an overall commemoration plan for the First Welland Canal, incorporating such commemoration elements as historical signage, public art and interpretive landscape features (OLT Case No. 22-003062)



11 Towards the Port Dalhousie Jail (Catherine Nasmith)



## VIEW 12: MAIN STREET

A view looking north from the “plateau”, across the “embankment” to the “delta”, ie. east down Main Street from Lock Street looking north across Lakeside Park, Port Dalhousie Harbour and Lake Ontario beyond. This view could be enhanced by removal of service buildings at the foot of Main Street and removal of vegetation to open view to the Inner Lighthouse.



12 Looking north from the “plateau” (Google Earth)



VIEW 13: LAKESIDE PARK

View of the landscaped “embankment” slope rising from the site of the former First Welland Canal towards the former Customs House on the “plateau”.



13 Landscaped “embankment” slope (Catherine Nasmith)

VIEW 14: LAKESIDE PARK BEACH

A long view across the open beach, west pier and harbour towards the lighthouses.



14 Across the open beach, west pier and harbour (Catherine Nasmith)



## 9.4 POTENTIAL PART IV DESIGNATIONS

By-law 2002-180 de-designated the following properties:

By-law #	Feature Address
79-437	Jail, 11 Main Street
80-440	Commercial building, 18 Lakeport Road
80-441	Commercial building, 38 Lakeport Road
80-442/ 91-142	Lakeside Hotel, 16 Lock Street
95-430	Dalhousie House, 50 Lakeport Road
95-431	Locktender's Shanty, 69A Lakeport Road



*16 Lock Street, the former Lakeside Hotel retains some interior features which may warrant designation of the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (Catherine Nasmith)*

A review of the above properties along with other contributing properties in the sub-district under Ontario Regulation 9.06 is recommended to determine if there are heritage attributes, particularly interior or setting that may be better conserved through companion designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.



*Lakeside Hotel, late 1960's (St. Catharines Public Library)*

# 10.0 CONSERVATION POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

## 10.1 OVERVIEW- CONSERVATION INTENT

The conservation intent within the Sub-District is to maintain the existing stock of contributing commercial and industrial heritage buildings whether of high style architectural design or of vernacular construction. It is recognized that the heritage building stock is in various states of repair and maintenance. It is not the intent within the Sub-District to force property owners to restore their property. On the contrary, the Plan seeks to ensure that when change is considered, heritage buildings and their heritage attributes and/or materials are conserved as part of that process of change and development.

In the public realm, the intent is to ensure conservation and enhancement of the contributing heritage resources and their heritage attributes identified in 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution with particular regard for the views and vistas described in 9.3.1, as well as to improve the public realm in a manner consistent with the heritage character of the sub-district.

As individual owners or public agencies are in a position to undertake change, the District Guidelines assist in ensuring that change contributes to the conservation of the heritage fabric of the District. Over time, an accrual of small changes enhances

the heritage character. The regulation of the District creates a stable environment in which owners can make appropriate investments in their properties with certainty. Nothing in these Guidelines is intended to force repairs or alterations. The Guidelines are to ensure that when work is undertaken, it contributes to the heritage character.

The heritage attributes on contributing properties must be retained and except in exceptional circumstances, proposals for their demolition will be refused. Guidelines for replacement buildings are intended only for situations where catastrophic or accidental events or unpermitted actions have resulted in the loss of buildings on contributing properties or for the replacement of demolished buildings on non-contributing properties.

Proposals for new ancillary buildings or additions to buildings on non-contributing properties are to be in keeping with the Sub-District Guidelines.

In addition to the requirements of these Guidelines, the heritage attributes of properties that are “listed” or designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, as defined in their respective listing reports or designation bylaws, should be maintained and enhanced in any proposed alteration to the property.

The Heritage Conservation District Plan Guidelines are informed by and to be read in conjunction with the City of St. Catharines Official Plan Heritage Policies contained in section 5.

## 10.2 AREAS AFFECTED BY THE GUIDELINES

The guidelines apply only to areas that can be seen from the public realm. Nothing in these Guidelines shall prevent the building of additions, or alterations to the rear of properties, or new buildings that are permitted under the zoning bylaw. However, construction at the rear of contributing properties shall generally be compatible with and subordinate to the contributing property and incorporate design elements to minimize views of new buildings or additions at the rear of contributing properties from the public realm on the south side of Lock Street and the east side of the Lakeport Road municipal right of way (OLT Case No. 22-003062). See 10.5.6 Industrial Buildings for height policy and guideline for the Maple Leaf Rubber Building. The following items may be undertaken without a heritage permit:

- Painting of wood, stucco or metal finishes
- Repair of existing features, including roofs, wall cladding, dormers, cresting, cupolas, cornices, brackets, columns, balustrades, verandahs, steps, decks, entrances, windows, foundations, and decorative wood, metal, stone or terra

cotta, provided that the same type of materials are used.

- Installation of eavestroughs.
- Weatherproofing, including installation of removable storm windows and doors, caulking, and weatherstripping
- Installation of exterior lights.
- Installation of decks at rear of building when not visible from the public realm.

### 10.3 BUILDING MAINTENANCE – GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- Extend the life of the original fabric through ongoing regular maintenance, such as re-pointing brick, and regular painting of woodwork.
- Repair and maintenance is preferred over replacement of heritage elements.
- Removal or replacement of heritage attributes shall not be permitted. If repair is not possible, recreate heritage elements in kind, using reclaimed materials wherever possible.
- Restoration of lost features should

be done on the basis of documented evidence of the actual feature, and with like materials.

- Mechanical equipment, meters, external and fixed air conditioning units, roof vents, satellite dishes, or other visible service elements are not permitted in regulated areas, i.e. visible from the public realm, except where it can be demonstrated that there are no feasible alternative locations.
- Skylights are not permitted in areas where they are visible from the public realm.

### 10.4 BUILDING MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

#### 10.4.1 Masonry

#### CONSERVATION

- Every effort must be made to avoid loss of original brickwork, stone and other masonry elements.
- Clean only when accumulated material is causing risk to the underlying materials, using least abrasive methods available.
- Do not sandblast or use high pressure water blasting or harsh chemicals that will harm older masonry.
- Do not paint brick or stone work.
- Re-point brickwork with traditional mortars that match the formulas of the existing mortars.
- Remove plantings from the surfaces of canal stonework to prevent damage.



Former Lion's Hotel, Wellington Hotel, red and yellow brick

- Remove trees planted close to canal stonework to prevent damage by tree roots and to increase solar access to stone surfaces to prevent growth of mildew and or moss that will damage the stone surfaces.
- Do not use modern hard Portland cement mortars on old masonry, as it leads to the rapid deterioration of the masonry.
- Ensure joint profile and texture of mortar joints match original.
- Repair masonry with reclaimed material to match adjacent.
- Evaluate the strength and durability of reclaimed material prior to re-use.



Wood shingles on the Lock keeper's Shanty  
(Catherine Nasmith)

- Maintain decorative brick elements.
- Do not repair historic masonry with modern masonry materials. Modern materials will introduce stresses into historic materials leading to premature deterioration and failure.

#### REPLACEMENT

- In cases where brick must be completely replaced, because of loss of the building, or severe deterioration of the existing fabric, and suitable re-claimed material cannot be found, new brick in a compatible colour, texture, size and composition may be considered.
- Concrete block or brick, modern brick, false stone, aluminum or vinyl siding shall not be permitted in regulated areas.
- If modern masonry materials are being considered, they should be tested prior to application to ensure they will not damage historic materials.

#### INFILL

- Encourage the use of traditional red or yellow clay brick on infill projects.

#### 10.4.2 Roofing

For sloped roofs:

- Replace historic materials such as slate or wood shingles wherever possible.
- If not feasible to replace roofing materials in kind, an asphalt shingle similar in texture may be considered.

For flat roofs:

- Ensure membranes are well maintained and flashed to prevent damage to building structure particularly to masonry parapets

#### 10.4.3 Windows

The Victorian and Edwardian period is marked by innovation and mass production of glass in industrial processes. Prior to this period, glass was expensive, and hard to produce in large sheets, hence smaller, multi-pane windows were used.

Modern double glazed windows require frequent replacement, sometimes as frequently as every 10-20 years. Many heritage buildings have windows in useful service for over 100 years because the windows were built of superior materials, and can be easily repaired. Repair is generally less expensive over the long term than replacement.

A challenge in introducing modern double or triple glazed sealed units into heritage buildings is that the muntin bar needed to cover the silver sealed unit frame is usually wider than the traditional bar for single glazing.



## CONSERVATION

- Do not remove repairable or operating original wood windows.
- Repair using similar materials.
- Conserve old glass.
- Attain thermal improvement by installation of storm windows either on the interior or exterior. Do not use vinyl or aluminum storm windows.
- Use weather-stripping systems designed for heritage windows.



*A well preserved wooden shopfront and upper level windows conserving the heritage fabric*

## REPLACEMENT

- If determined by a conservation expert that the original windows cannot be restored, or if already lost, replacement windows should match size, proportion, division, materials and location of originals as shown in historic photos or other evidence.
- In cases where windows must be replaced, painted wood windows are preferred to allow for restoration of an appropriate colour scheme to the building.
- Conserve historic glass and re-install in new sash as possible.
- Do not introduce new window openings or skylights on the front elevations.
- Restoring shopfront windows to an earlier documented configuration is encouraged.
- Do not use replacement windows that increase the frame or sash size of the original window.
- Where the panes in a sash are not subdivided double glazed sealed units may be introduced.
- Vinyl and aluminum windows are not permitted.



*Front Street (Lakeport Buildings) and Lock Street. Verandahs and canopies contribute to the streetscape, providing protection for pedestrians and sidewalks, Ontario Archives DIN1002486*

#### 10.4.4 Doors

##### CONSERVATION

- Maintain original wood doors wherever possible.
- Retain transom windows.
- Thermal upgrade of existing doors can be undertaken by installing astragals and weatherstripping.

##### REPLACEMENT

- Where doors have been lost, use evidence from historic photographs as a guide to finding appropriate replacement doors.
- Modern glazed doors may be considered in a replacement shopfront.

#### 10.4.5 Verandahs

Verandahs are very important to the heritage character of the area, on Lock Street and Lakeport Road contributing to a unique streetscape, immediately recognizable as Port Dalhousie.

##### CONSERVATION

- It is desirable to re-instate verandahs where missing.
- Do not remove verandahs.
- Maintain open verandahs.
- Re-opening of closed-in verandahs is encouraged.



*Handsome wood cornice on former hotel building provides protection for masonry and is one of only a few cornices that survive on the Italianate style commercial buildings in Port Dalhousie.*

- Paint woodwork routinely.
- Match original woodwork when replacing missing elements.

##### RESTORATION/REPLACEMENT

- Plain square pickets and handrails are preferred if information on original shape of baluster and handrails is not available.
- Paint woodwork.
- Match original woodwork when replacing missing elements.
- The restoration of an earlier verandah is permitted if evidence can be produced that such a verandah existed.

#### 10.4.6 Woodwork, Decorative Elements

Decorative woodwork is an important aspect of the Sub-District, particularly the cornices and verandahs.

##### CONSERVATION

- Encourage preservation of all woodwork through regular maintenance, and painting.
- Encourage uncovering of hidden decorative materials.
- Do not introduce aluminum, vinyl or plywood; where extant, their removal is encouraged.

##### REPLACEMENT

- The replacement of missing woodwork should be informed by photographic documentation of the original building.

#### 10.4.7 Paint

The City of St. Catharines does not regulate paint colours in HCDs, however the following advice is offered for the benefit of property owners wishing to use appropriate paint colours on their buildings. However, painting of previously unpainted surfaces requires review and approval.

In districts with consistent architectural character the overall appearance of the district is strongly enhanced when buildings are painted in original colours or colours appropriate to the period of construction.

#### CONSERVATION/RESTORATION

- Examination of paint scrapings under a photographer's loop or a microscope will give a good idea of the original colours of the building if the owner is interested in restoring the original colour scheme.
- While colour co-ordination in a HCD is not required by the City of St. Catharines, owners may choose to undertake research, perhaps in concert with a paint supplier, to develop a palette of historically accurate paint colours that can be made available to interested property owners.
- Window sashes and frames are often painted dark colours, as is woodwork.

#### 10.4.8 Gutters, Eavestroughs and Rain Water Leader

As noted above, the City of St. Catharines does not regulate the installation of eavestroughs, or downspouts in HCDs. The following information is offered to assist property owners in making decisions.

- Wherever possible route rainwater leaders to the sides of buildings, and direct drainage away from foundations.
- Ensure gutters, eavestroughs are well maintained to prevent damage to masonry and other finishes.
- The use of galvanized steel is preferred to prefinished materials to allow co-ordination with paint colours.
- Where appropriate, with cedar or slate roofing, copper is encouraged.
- Do not damage or obscure heritage features when installing drainage systems.

### 10.5 BUILDING GUIDELINES

#### 10.5.1 Demolition

Demolition of contributing properties, as identified in Section 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution, shall not be supported unless it has been demonstrated by a qualified heritage professional, i.e. CAHP member that a building, or part thereof, is non-contributing. Demolition permits shall be

refused except in exceptional circumstances such as a structural instability or extreme dereliction where judged by a qualified heritage professional, eg. to be incapable to rehabilitate. All efforts shall be made by the municipality to enforce property standards and prevent "demolition by neglect."

Demolition of non-contributing properties will be permitted, if the replacement building, as shown in the building permit plans, is acceptable under these guidelines.

To conserve the fine grained building pattern of the HCD, particularly along Lock Street and the west side of Lakeport Road, except in cases where larger buildings are permitted under zoning bylaws, replacement buildings will be generally the same size, height, width, depth as the demolished building.

#### 10.5.2 Additions and Alterations

Additions and alterations that erode the heritage attributes or character of contributing buildings and structures shall not be permitted. Additions should not overwhelm the original building. Alterations to restore documented lost heritage features are encouraged. Additions at the rear of contributing buildings shall generally be compatible with and subordinate to the contributing building and shall generally not be higher than the main roofline of the contributing building as seen from the sidewalk on the opposite side of the street,

or from 20m away in the public realm. Additions to contributing buildings visible from the public realm should be compatible in material, window and door openings and general proportion with the main building.

Re-instating the verandah system over the sidewalks is encouraged.

See also guidelines for additions and alterations in 10.5.5 Commercial Structures and 10.5.6 Industrial Buildings.



*Contemporary yet compatible and respectful infill commercial building from Queen St W in Toronto (Google Earth)*

### **10.5.3 Infill Buildings**

New buildings in the Sub-District must be compatible in character, scale, spacing, rhythm on the street, setback, location, height, width, materials, proportion and placement of window openings, height of roofs and eaves, locations of entrance doors, and respectful of the surrounding buildings. New buildings should avoid mimicry of the historic style of adjacent properties. It is desirable to engage an architect with experience designing in Heritage Conservation Districts to design infill buildings in the Sub-District. The reconstruction or restoration of historic structures within the Sub-District shall be permitted only with thorough supporting research. Historical styles and stylistic elements should not be applied where they did not previously exist. New additions and construction will be distinguishable as new, however minor changes in keeping with the Sub-District character may be permitted.

See also guidelines for infill in Sections 10.5.5 Commercial Structures and 10.5.6 Industrial Buildings.

### **10.5.4 Replacement Buildings**

Replication of lost buildings is discouraged unless there is sufficient accurate documentary evidence and adequate financial resources to undertake a faithful copy, with the advice of a professional

heritage architect, i.e. a member of the Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals.

### **10.5.5 Commercial Structures**

Lakeport Road and Lock Street are distinguished by a number of important nineteenth century commercial structures, some typical main street typology, some former hotels. Recent demolitions of commercial buildings make the remaining contributing buildings commensurately more important to the reading of the sense of time and place of the canal village. Previous demolitions along Hogan's Alley (that were to be replaced by new buildings that have not subsequently been built) have diminished its place as a secondary commercial/ pedestrian route. Infill buildings will strengthen its role as a vibrant, intimately scaled commercial, predominantly pedestrian route.

Individual statements describing the heritage attributes of the contributing buildings can be found in Section 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution. All remaining contributing buildings must be conserved. Any alterations to contributing buildings require approval of a heritage permit which must be supported by a Heritage Impact Assessment and Conservation Plan and other related requirements that may be identified by the municipality such as economic viability plan and commemoration plan (OLT Case No. 22-003062).

facade. The upper facade was usually a flat wall surface with window openings and applied decoration such as boldly decorated cornices and window hoods. It may have been divided into vertical bays by the window placement and horizontally emphasized by stringcourses. The lower façade was generally the shop-front and separate entrance doors to the upper levels.

The division between the shop-front and the upper main street facade has always been clearly drawn in commercial architecture. It is necessary to distinguish between the pressures for change to the shop-fronts and

the stable upper streetscape facades when contemplating conservation measures.

**Shop-fronts:** Shop-fronts are usually separated horizontally from the upper facade by a continuous fascia that covered a structural beam, or a verandah. The fascia was often used as a signboard. The shop-front was primarily composed of large display windows and was visually contained within the facade. The lower facades for former hotels differ slightly in having smaller windows on the ground floor that related to lobby or dining rooms not a retail function; as these buildings have been adapted for

ground floor retail, the glazed areas have been increased.

**Verandahs:** In Port Dalhousie, the ground floor and second floor are often separated by a verandah structure. Some of the verandahs are missing. Many of the remaining verandah structures have been altered from open to enclosed verandahs. Where there were second floors, there were doors to access the outdoor area. Refer to historic photos for verandah locations and details.

## SHOP-FRONTS

**Role of Shop-front:** The conservation of commercial structures requires maintaining a balance between the needs of changing commercial uses and prevailing retail styles within the shop-front area and the overall architectural heritage of the building. The following conservation principles for commercial structures attempt to resolve the conflict between the modern needs of a commercial enterprise and the conservation of the overall architectural character of a structure.

A shop-front may be replaced by;

- Revealing and rehabilitating an earlier design beneath the present shop-front;
- By installing a more complementary modern design; or
- By restoring a shop-front based upon historical documentation (Preferred approach).



Lakeport Rd (Front St row showing typical arrangement of commercial buildings, division between shopfront on ground and upper level living, hotel or office spaces.

## CONSERVATION/RESTORATION/ REHABILITATION

- When assessing a shop-front for conservation purposes, it is essential to distinguish and maintain a balance between the overall permanent architecture of the shop-front and the ever-changing retail displays within the shop-front. This ensures that the prevailing retail fashion does not destroy the heritage value of the architecture above and adjacent.
- Inspect the entire of a facade of the building, preferably from across the street, to note the building's proportions, construction materials, detailing, missing architectural elements and cumulative changes to the facade. Distinguish the permanent or established shop-front from the changing store display.
- Maintain and repair rather than replace existing shop-fronts that are physically sound and compatible with the overall building facade through design, details and proportions even if they are later additions.
- Maintain the general character of a shop-front by removing extraneous additions in front of or within the framework of the shop-front unless it is original or restoration work based upon historical evidence.
- Consider replacing an existing shop-front that does not fit the historical character of the structure.
- Retain the original proportions of glass

- to solid in the shop-front as well as the glazed transoms above entrance doors.
- Avoid replacing window areas with air conditioning units on the front facade.
  - Retain and conserve decorative glass.
  - Maintain the characteristic setbacks, recesses, framing, materials and details of shop-front windows when repairing, replacing or restoring.
  - Clean, conserve and maintain painted non-ferrous metal surfaces with particular attention to copper and bronze fixtures.
  - Consider re-instating former verandahs where there is evidence they previously existed.

## SIGNAGE

- Use older photographs to establish appropriate sign styles and types.
- Do not use back-lit fluorescent sign boxes against the fascia that project from the historic frame of the building.
- Retain, repair and maintain operable canvas awnings if they survive and consider restoring operable awnings to their original location if they are historically documented.
- Commercial signs shall be painted on the sign band, or using raised wood or metal lettering affixed to the sign band,
- Painted signs on shop glazing is encouraged.
- Small hanging signs or banners affixed at right angles to the building face are encouraged provided there is 2.4 m

clearance above the sidewalk to the underside of the sign

- Where there are verandahs, signage may be affixed to the verandah
- Repainting of former commercial signs such as "Austin House" on the sides of buildings is encouraged.
- Commercial signs require a heritage permit.

## REPLACEMENT

- See 10.5.1 Demolition
- Reproduce materials, colour and detailing where known.
- Conjectural shop-fronts are not appropriate, where there is no historic evidence of the original shop-front, install a contemporary design.
- Do not give a shop-front a period look that predates the existing building.
- If a shop-front cannot be restored using existing or hidden building fabric without extensive replacement, and it is considered appropriate to recover an earlier appearance, restore using existing materials, building archaeology and archival photographs.
- Inspect carefully for hidden finishes and details, assess for missing design details such as cornices, brackets, pilasters, transoms and window divisions.

A contemporary replacement shop-front should only be considered when:

- there is an overriding commercial reason to do so; and

- when there is no evidence of an original shop-front, or
- when a new shop-front is being added to a building originally built for another purpose.

When a contemporary shop-front is being considered, the design should:

- clearly express the structure of the building, i.e. be framed by brick, wood or steel pillars as appropriate,
- be visually separated from the upper façade by a strong horizontal element,
- relate to the divisions and window arrangements of the façade above.

### SUBDIVISION/COMBINING GROUND FLOORS

The historic occupation of the commercial buildings in the Commercial Core has been by independently owned and locally operated small businesses which has contributed to the vitality and diversity of the Sub-District. That diversity is encouraged by:

- the maximum floor area for an individual shop/retail/artisanal operation shall generally not exceed 400 sq. m. Restaurants shall generally not exceed 550 sq. m. Under special circumstances, in infill buildings, stores proposing larger areas may be considered, provided that all other requirements for shop facades are met.
- the maximum width for retail/artisanal shop-front should be no more than 12m.
- In order to be able to continue to read

the building divisions of the historic streetscape, shops/retail/artisanal spaces should not be combined if it requires breaking through a party wall between buildings that have been owned separately in the past.

- If shops are combined within a single building, the shop signage shall extend in one sign band across the full width of the shop.

### BUILDING LOT SIZES

A fine grained pattern of land subdivision held by a variety of different property owners has contributed to the diversity and vitality of buildings and operations in the area. When land is sub-divided the lot sizes and configurations shall be similar to historic lot sizes.

### UPPER FACADES

#### CONSERVATION/RESTORATION

- Retain, repair and maintain the original architectural detailing of the upper façade with particular attention to the cornice, fascia, window and door placement and proportions and window sash. Restore these elements when missing using historic evidence and the same materials.

#### INFILL

To ensure new commercial buildings facing and abutting Lock Street and the west side of

Lakeport Road create a street wall that is compatible with that of contributing buildings, new commercial buildings shall generally be no higher than the tallest contributing building for the first 3.0m from the streetwall (OLT Case No. 22-003062). The buildings that form the face of the street wall shall generally be constructed using red or yellow brick, glass, timber, and cement based stucco (rough- cast). Minor use of steel or aluminum may be considered.

- Avoid mimicry of historic styles and detailing. Facades should respect horizontal and vertical divisions of nearby historic fabric.
- On the upper facades the percentages of open to solid should be similar to the historic fabric but interpreted in a contemporary fashion.
- Shop-fronts shall be designed as discussed for contemporary shop-fronts.
- Encourage inclusion of verandahs and awnings over the sidewalks.

*New buildings facing Lake Ontario or Main Street:*

- Shall preserve service access to the retained contributing buildings on Lock Street and Lakeport Road, and have service access from Main Street or the Street with No Name (OLT Case No. 22-003062).
- Buildings that form the street wall shall generally be constructed using red brick, glass, timber, and cement based stucco. Minor use of steel may be considered.
- Be compatible in scale with the surrounding contributing buildings,
- Taller buildings shall be located away from Lock

Street and Lockport Road and should include use of stepbacks or other design treatments to minimize the visibility of new buildings above the rooflines of the contributing buildings when viewed from the sidewalk opposite of contributing buildings north of Lock Street or from the sidewalk or portion of the right-of-way opposite contributing buildings on Lakeport Road (OLT Case No. 22-003062).

- Shall avoid mimicry of historic styles and detailing.
- Shall generally step back from the waterfront and step up or incorporate design elements that provide the appearance of stepping up the slope to make the change in levels across the embankment evident when viewed from Lakeside Park (OLT Case No. 22-003062).

- Shall provide an open plaza around the Port Dalhousie Jail.
- Shop-fronts shall be designed as discussed for contemporary shop-fronts.
- Shall generally have similar percentages of open to solid on the upper facades to the historic fabric but interpreted in a contemporary fashion.

### 10.5.6 Industrial Buildings


There are two remaining industrial buildings, the former Muir Brothers Dry Dock Building now called Dalhousie House and the large 19<sup>th</sup> century multi storey gridded timber and heavy masonry building, The Maple Leaf Rubber Building, currently Lincoln Fabrics.

Found on the “delta” area, these buildings were sited in relation to canal and shipping operations. As the two remaining industrial buildings from the once bustling marine industry history, they are highly significant to conserve. Much of the land formerly occupied by industrial operations is now open and undeveloped, some is public parkland and harbour-side walkways, some as parking lots. Some sites have potential for development.

The Legion building incorporates some heritage attributes from its former role as former canal works building, but is now a



View of Maple Leaf Rubber from the Harbour showing former wing and tower. Ontario Archives DIN 10013903



Industrial building adapted for commercial use in Toronto’s Distillery District with small hanging signs at right angles to building, and minor additional painted wood signage above entrance, retention of existing industrial windows as display windows, and new entrance in former window opening. Google Earth



commercial structure, with many alterations and additions. More detailed descriptions of the heritage attributes of these buildings can be found in Section 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution. See 9.3, 57 Lakeport Road. Only the surviving 19th century industrial fabric should be conserved.

### CONSERVATION

- See 10.4 Building Maintenance Guidelines for guidance on conserving materials and heritage attributes
- Conserve the relationship to the canals, and where possible enhance the clarity of



*Toronto example of an Edwardian heavy masonry construction building that has had a two storey addition on the roof. (Catherine Nasmith)*

the buildings' former industrial role and canal relationships.

- Retain all former industrial artifacts, including such items as doors, fire doors, equipment, staircases, insitu or close enough that the relationship to the original location is clear.

### ADDITIONS AND ALTERATIONS

- Do not enlarge windows. Access to ground floor retail, commercial or artisanal space may be achieved by converting existing window openings to doors by lowering the sills, but not widening the existing openings. Access may also be achieved through interior entrances.
- Retail or artisanal commercial uses may occur at ground level, using existing windows openings for display purposes. See SIGNAGE.
- Additions to industrial buildings are encouraged where re-introducing former wings or features.
- When there is sufficient evidence to restore lost features or wings, restoration may be considered, alternately re-instated wings may be designed in a contemporary and compatible style.
- Two additional stories may be added to Maple Leaf Rubber provided the addition is clearly distinguishable from the existing floors. The preferred approach is to extend the heavy masonry and timber construction upwards while demarcating the addition from

the existing building through subtle changes in masonry to additions and fenestration. Other approaches may be considered provided they are compatible; do not confuse or overwhelm the style of the existing building, do not obscure historic elevations and ensure they are distinguishable from the existing fabric.

### INFILL

- Additional density in the area south of Lock Street, east of Lakeport Road and west of the harbour should be added in separate buildings compatible in material, scale, general size and shape to the existing industrial buildings but designed in a contemporary yet compatible style. As long as open views to the water are preserved between buildings, and new buildings are separated from the historic buildings, they may be linked to the former Maple Leaf Rubber building with minor connections such as upper level bridging.
- Infill buildings shall be visually subordinate to the Maple Leaf Rubber building.

### SIGNAGE

- New commercial signage on industrial buildings must be modest in scale and preferably affixed at right angles to the building using methods such as banners or small hanging signs so that commercial signage does not obscure the industrial composition of the buildings.

Exceptions may be considered for other types of signage on a case by case basis, such signage will be modest in scale, use traditional materials such as painted wood, or cut metal or wood lettering.

- Backlit signs will not be permitted.
- Small brass plaques may also be used to identify business premises provided they are not larger than .5m x .5m and only one plaque per entrance door.
- Wayfinding signs should be located in freestanding locations not attached to buildings, and be modest in scale, i.e. below 1metre in height.



*Carousel Building*

### **10.5.7 Port Dalhousie Jail**

The historic relationship of the Port Dalhousie Jail to the route of the First Welland Canal shall be conserved and interpreted in a manner consistent with the policies and guidelines outlined within this Plan (OLT Case No. 22-003062). Retain an appropriate separation or setback to any adjacent structure with an approximately 1:1 ratio between the height of the surrounding buildings and the width of the open space between the jail and surrounding buildings, i.e. a 9m tall building face would require an approximately 9m separating distance. Additions to the Port Dalhousie Jail shall not be permitted, nor introduction of new window or door openings.

### **10.5.8 Tourism Buildings**

Buildings which support tourism, such as kiosks, picnic pavilions, public washrooms, the carousel building, commercial boating operations, or museums and which are sited in open areas such as the parks or adjacent to public walkways or piers are encouraged to be fanciful in their architecture and designed to be seen from all sides.

### **10.5.9 Mechanical Services/Energy**

**Retrofit** In considering alterations to a property to improve the energy performance of the envelope, ensure that the changes do not alter the heritage attributes of the

building as they relate to the public realm or inadvertently cause deterioration of the historic building fabric. Advice of a heritage architect, eg. a member of the Canadian Association of Heritage Professionals, is recommended prior to making alterations to ensure that energy retrofits do not negatively impact traditional building science.

All changes to windows, doors, masonry, woodwork, mechanical equipment and other items included in this Guideline must conform to the Heritage Conservation District Plan Guidelines in all respects. For example, in the case of surviving wood windows, wood or interior storm windows and weather-stripping may be used to increase performance. Another potential example might be the addition of solar panels to the roof, permitted provided they are not visible from the public realm.

1. Visible mechanical equipment such as transformers, external and fixed air-conditioning units, utility meters or solar panels on the fronts of buildings are not permitted, except where it can be demonstrated that there are no feasible alternative locations.
2. Planting may be considered to screen required devices when other locations are not available.

## 10.6 LANDSCAPE/STREETSCAPE GUIDELINES

### 10.6.1 Lock Street

Lock Street is the only street in Port Dalhousie with a traditional Ontario main street character, framed with a continuous street wall of 1-3 storey narrow fronted buildings, with verandahs providing pedestrian shelter and second floor amenity. Its character is described in sections 6.2 Heritage Character, 7.4 Heritage Character



*Lock Street c. 1920, Wellington Hotel: verandah, and sidewalk directly in front of hotel, but basic footpath to the east*

Statement, 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution, and 9.3.1 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points. The simple character of the streetscape, generally without street trees and a minimum of tall lighting fixtures reflects its utilitarian history serving a port town.

To conserve and enhance that character:

- Conserve contributing buildings and limit height of new buildings to 3 storeys for first 3.0m from the street wall (OLT Case No. 22-003062).

- Encourage the re-introduction of verandahs.
- Conserve the views to the First Lock of the Second Welland Canal.
- Conserve asphalt paving and concrete sidewalks.



*Wellington Hotel, circa 1900, note mud street*

### 10.6.2 Lakeport Road

Lakeport Road's character changes along its route, which is described in sections 6.2 Heritage Character, 7.4 Heritage Character Statement, 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution, and 9.3.1 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points.

Until it reaches Lock Street it offers wide open views interrupted by occasional placement of buildings. North of Lock Street, in the area formerly known as Front Street, there is a short row of closely spaced contributing buildings and angle parking

overlooking the harbour and Second Welland Canal edge.

The heritage character of Lakeport Road south of Lock Street shall be conserved and enhanced by the following measures:

- Conserve contributing buildings.
- Ensure the wide spacing of any new buildings that are introduced to preserve views to the water features both sides of the road.
- Consider interpretive landscape features to identify the route of the Second Welland Canal.

- Avoid blocking of open views with new plantings.
- Conserve pedestrian and cycling routes along Lakeport Road as part of the kinetic views.
- Avoid road widening.

The heritage character of Lakeport Road north of Lock Street shall be conserved and enhanced by the following measures:

- Conserve contributing buildings.
- Construct new buildings on the currently empty site, formerly occupied by Port Mansion, north of the contributing row of buildings to re-instate the streetwall.
- Do not construct new buildings east of Lakeport Road to preserve views to the Harbour.
- Avoid blocking of open views with new plantings.
- Conserve or narrow existing road width.



*The alignment of Lakeport Road is recent and crosses over portions of the former Second Welland Canal, which passed west of the Maple Leaf Rubber Factory. Its current character allows open views to the water (Catherine Nasmith)*



*(Front Street) Lakeport Road North of Lock Street circa 1900, including two former hotels at north edge, which continued the built edge including verandahs, also note open character of port landscape. Also note the lock and boat hardware in foreground. Ontario Archives DIN 10002486*



*South of Lock Street, the path of the former canal is echoed in the alignment of the shops (Catherine Nasmith)*

### 10.6.3 Hogan's Alley

Hogan's Alley's character is described in sections 6.2 Heritage Character, 7.4 Heritage Character Statement, 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution, and 9.3.1 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points.

It's intimate scale, and utilitarian character carried forward from its role as a service route should be conserved and enhanced by:

- Conserving contributing buildings.
- Framing views to the water with new infill buildings with front door access from Hogan's Alley.
- Retaining hard surfaces.
- Do not introduce trees or vegetation in locations that would obstruct the significant views identified in Section 9.3.1 (OLT Case No. 22-003062).
- Affixing street lighting to buildings.
- Encouraging retail, live work and artisanal uses at ground level along its length.
- Mixing pedestrian and minor vehicular access on the same road surface.



*Shows the slope of the street as well as the change in level of the embankment in the street and also in the Lakeport Road, (Front Street) buildings, one story on Hogan's Alley, two on Lakeport Road. More than a lane, not quite a street, Hogan's Alley should be re-inforced with new buildings along its length to become an active part of the commercial core. (Catherine Nasmith)*

#### 10.6.4 Main Street

Main Street's character is described in sections 6.2 Heritage Character, 7.4 Heritage Character Statement, and 9.3.1 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points.

It's steep grade as part of and adjacent to the embankment slope has left it largely undeveloped through its history. Its role has been to connect from the Port Dalhousie Jail and the First Welland Canal to Lock Street, later to connect to the parking lot and Lakeside Park. It is connected via "the street with no name" to Lakeport Road to

permit traffic to circulate to and from the shops north of Lock Street on Lakeport Road to Lakeside Park and then to leave Port Dalhousie either along Lock Street back to Lakeport Road, or to continue south along Main Street. Its character is not one of a framed street but rather an expedient service route. Its utilitarian character should be respected and conserved by:

- Continuing to use it as a service street for Lakeside Park and new development.
- Encouraging retail, live work and artisanal uses at grade.

- Residential lobbies may be accessed from Main Street
- Retaining a pedestrian and cycle route along its length.
- Conserving an asphalt surface.

#### 10.6.5 The Street with No Name

Dubbed "The Street with No Name" it connects Lakeport Road to the north end of Main Street and serves the parking area for Lakeside Park. Its route is along the former First Canal, the level land adjacent being the canal edges and is therefore an area of high archaeological potential. It has had only minor service buildings along it through most of its history, with the exception of the Port Dalhousie Jail, and the current condominium sales pavilion. There is little in early photographs to describe its early character, but as the canal was filled in, it is likely that it would have been an unpaved service route similar to other streets in the commercial and industrial port.

Consider naming the Street with No Name. It has potential to take on a new character with new development. Development along the Street with No Name shall:

- Have retail, live work, artisanal uses or other active commercial, cultural or community uses at ground level.



*Main Street north of Lock has been generally undeveloped through its history. 20th century buildings were removed for re-development of the 16 Lock Street site (Google Earth)*

- Consider providing a wide sidewalk or narrow plaza along the front edge to commemorate the former canal edge and provide places for restaurant patios or outdoor retail.
- Ensure that archaeological remains of the First Welland Canal are conserved insitu and commemorated.
- Permit access to residential lobbies, commercial and community space (OLT Case No. 22-003062).

### **10.6.6 Canal Elements Harbour Edge/Piers**

Canal elements and harbour edges and piers are described in sections 6.2 Heritage Character, 7.4 Heritage Character Statement, 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution and 9.3.1 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points.

The remaining locks and canal remnants, harbour edges and piers are contributing fabric and critical to the legibility of the history and unique form of the canal village.

Their utilitarian character shall be conserved by:

- Ensuring that the stones are conserved.
- Restoration of missing canal equipment and features where evidence exists to ensure faithful restoration.
- Remove plantings and trees to avoid root damage to stonework and shade leading to organic growth and stone decay.
- Constructing walkways or boat docking facilities along the canal edges and piers in un-tinted broom finished poured concrete.
- Retaining the adjacent harbour as an open water body, i.e. avoid land fill.



*Utilitarian character of Third Canal edge, with marine equipment retained (Catherine Nasmith)*



### 10.6.7 Lakeside Park

Lakeside Park is described in sections 6.2 Heritage Character, 7.4 Heritage Character Statement, 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution and 9.3.1 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points.

The park, created by filling in a marshy area at the mouth of 12 Mile Creek as well as filling over the former First Welland Canal is a generally open and level area with large lawn areas, tree plantings and a wide expanse of sandy public beach. It has had many structures built and removed as its



*Historic image sports and bleachers in Lakeside Park, circa 1910 (Niagara Public Library)*

purpose has evolved. In private ownership it had more pavilions and sports facilities relating to its use as a private amusement park open to the public, prior to that there had been small wooden cottages. Of these, the most significant remnant is the carousel which is contributing but which is housed within the non-contributing carousel building.

The landscape elements of the park can change and alter as needs change. In making changes ensure:

- Important views to Lake Ontario, the harbour, lighthouses, the embankment, the Port Dalhousie Jail and the customs house are conserved or enhanced.
- Archaeological remnants of the First Welland Canal are conserved on land and underwater.
- Retain the level plane of the “delta”, i.e. the filled area.
- Retain and do not obscure the treed and landscaped embankment which marks the edge of the former shoreline.
- Commemoration and interpretation of the First Welland Canal.
- Commemoration/illustration and celebration of indigenous history.
- Commemoration of the private ownership and amusement park history.
- Trees are selected from the species list in

Section 10.6.10.

- Consider re-introducing some marsh areas and indigenous plantings.
- Consider removal of a limited number of trees to open views to Lake Ontario from Hogan’s Alley and Main Street.

### 10.6.8 Rennie Park

Rennie Park is described in sections 6.2 Heritage Character, 7.4 Heritage Character Statement, 9.3 Heritage Inventory, Evaluation and Statements of Contribution and 9.3.1 Views, Vistas and Vantage Points.

Rennie Park, created by filling in the Muir Brothers Dry Dock is a generally open and level area with large lawn areas, minor tree plantings, walks and pathways. Dalhousie House is an important industrial remnant from the Muir Brothers long standing business in this location. Some areas were

augmented during dredging of Martindale Pond in the 1990's. The park offers important vantage points to the former Welland Canal route, Martindale Pond, and the embankments on both sides of the "delta".

The landscape elements of the park can change and alter as needs change. In making changes ensure:

- Important views to Martindale Pond, the route of the former Welland Canal, and the embankment are conserved.
- Dalhousie House is conserved and maintains at least occasional public

access to the interior.

- The working history of the site is commemorated.
- Archaeological remnants of the dry dock and canal operations are conserved.
- Retain the level plane of the "delta", i.e. the filled area.
- Retain and do not obscure the treed and landscaped embankment which marks the edge of the former shoreline.
- Encourage new landscape elements to commemorate the dry dock and Second Welland Canal.
- Commemoration/illustration and celebration of indigenous history.
- Trees are selected from the species list in Section 10.6.10.



*Panorama of Rennie Park including Dalhousie House, former Muir Brothers Building (Catherine Nasmith)*

### 10.6.9 Public Walkways/Pedestrian and Cycling Routes

There are many public walkways and cycling routes throughout the Sub-District which offer kinetic views and vistas of important heritage attributes. Wherever possible when altering or adding new routes commemorate the industrial history and the founding landscape features of Port Dalhousie by:

- Connecting important views and historic sites.
- Following former edges such as the canal or dry dock edges, or former canal tow routes.
- Providing commemorative materials along the routes.

Respect the utilitarian industrial history of Port Dalhousie by:

- Utilizing utilitarian materials like concrete and asphalt for public routes
- Retaining former marine artifacts

### 10.6.10 Trees

The municipality is responsible for the public works within the road right of way and for the open space parkland within the Sub-District. The planting and maintenance of the street trees makes a significant contribution to the heritage landscape character.

The majority of street trees are mature, wide canopy deciduous trees - primarily silver maple, red oak, sugar maple, horse chestnut, catalpa, ash, and mountain ash. These species have green foliage in the summer with colourful reds, yellows, and golds in the fall season. More recent additions to the street tree collection include red leaved Crimson King maple, Norway maple and flowering crabapples. These species even when mature do not duplicate the size or character of the existing streetscape.

Therefore, it is recommended that as street trees mature they should be replanted and

where possible the new trees should be large canopied, green foliage deciduous trees. No further planting of Norway maple, flowering crabapples or Crimson King maple is recommended. The following trees are suitable as street trees in the district especially given the wide boulevard planting location:

Scientific Name	Common Name
Acer saccharinum	silver maple (including cutleaf varieties)
Acer saccharum	sugar maple
Aesculus hippocastanum	horse chestnut
Aesculus glabra	Ohio buckeye
Carpinus caroliniana	ironwood
Ginkgo biloba	ginkgo (male only)
Gleditsia triacanthos var. inermis	honeylocust
Gleditsia triacanthos var. inermis	'Sunburst', sunburst honey locus
Juglans spp.	walnut
Platanus x acerifolia	London plane tree
Quercus rubra	red oak
Robinia pseudoacacia	black locust
Sorbus aucuparia	mountain ash
Tilia spp.	linden
Ulmus rubra	red or slippery elm



*Utilitarian brushed concrete treatment of canal edge walkway is respectful of working history of the canal village (Catherine Nasmith)*

- Existing trees should be monitored on a regular basis to ensure that they remain healthy. Pruning of dieback, fertilization and pesticide treatments should be undertaken as required to preserve the existing trees.
- Undertakings such as road widenings and installation of new underground services or overhead utilities should be assessed prior to the start of construction to determine if they will negatively affect the existing street trees.
- New driveway entrances and parking areas on private property should be carefully planned to ensure that



*Sign post from Alton Mill, a standard treatment was developed for the site which suited the character of that place. Different types of signs are handled in a consistent way (Catherine Nasmith)*

compaction of the street tree root system does not occur. Generally, an area around the base of the trees equal in diameter to the crown of the tree should remain undisturbed to protect the long term health and survival of the tree.

### 10.6.11 Signage

Signage should be helpful but not visually overwhelming, generally kept modest in scale. Avoid backlit or electronic signage, sign lighting should be from lights affixed to the buildings for free standing signage, from sign stands or lights in the ground.

See sections 10.5.5 and 10.5.6 for sign guidelines for commercial and industrial buildings.

#### Street signage

- Consider developing street signs that include reference to the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District.

### WAYFINDING SIGNAGE

- General way finding signage shall be unobtrusive, generally placed in the boulevard or landscaped areas and be designed in a modest contemporary style.
- Wayfinding signage should be below 1m in height.
- Backlit signs will not be permitted.

- Limit the signs affixed to buildings to commercial signage.

### 10.6.12 Parking

#### LAKESIDE PARK PARKING LOT

There has been a surface parking lot in this location for a long time and it performs an important function for events and the commercial operations in the core. Parking in this area will be important should any type of interurban water transport be re-introduced.

- The parking lot should not be increased in area, but may be reconfigured to improve pedestrian access from the commercial area to Lakeside Park, or
- To allow for interpretive landscaping of the First Welland Canal.

#### PARKING LOTS

As sites in the Harbour Area are redeveloped:

- Surface parking should be reduced to the greatest extent possible.

### 10.6.13 Lighting

- Further research is needed to determine what, if any street, park or harbour lighting existed historically.
- Prior to changing public lighting, research should be undertaken to determine whether there is an earlier fixture that would be compatible with the heritage character areas.
- If evidence exists to support its presence, pedestrian oriented street lighting could be added.
- If no information is available on original fixtures, appropriately scaled modern fixtures should be used.

### 10.7 ZONING IN THE SUB-DISTRICT

The existing zoning with height limit of 11 m (approximately 3 storeys) is generally appropriate for the area, permitting buildings of similar scale to those in the Sub-District. The Secondary Plan Study conducted concurrently with the development of this HCD Plan, reviewed existing height permissions in the Sub-District to identify some areas where increased heights would be compatible with the existing generally low rise heritage fabric, and other identified heritage attributes, while ensuring that the former Maple Leaf Rubber Company building at 63 Lakeport Road retains its landmark quality (OLT Case No. 22-003062)

In the area north of Lock Street, and west of Lakeport Road, generally behind the contributing buildings and compatible infill buildings, to ensure a compatible and visually comfortable scale relationship between the surrounding low rise fabric of the residential area to the west and south, as well as the generally maximum 3 storey commercial buildings, tall buildings shall be located behind the contributing buildings on Lock Street and Lakeport Road (OLT Case No. 22-003062)

## 10.8 ADJACENT AREAS

### ADJACENT AREA 1:

The areas east of the harbour contains important public vantage points for views of the Heritage Conservation Sub-District as

a whole and those public routes should be conserved and enhance public access to the vantage points. It also contains important contextual fabric for the HCD, the piers and lighthouses, as well as relating delta areas and the opposite bank of the mouth of 12

Mile Creek. The lighthouses are designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. One of the lighthouses is federally owned, but it is anticipated that it will be transferred to municipal ownership in the near future, along with other federally owned property.




Diagram showing District Boundary, Sub-District Boundary and two adjacent areas.

### ADJACENT AREA 2

The areas of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District not included within the boundary of the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area HCD Plan (2018 HCD Sub-District Plan) on the plateau area adjacent to the 2018 HCD Sub-District Plan boundary are laid out in a rectilinear street grid, including residential, religious, and institutional properties and public parks and community monuments. This area has a very different heritage character, yet is important companion context and connecting fabric to the area within the boundary of the 2018 HCD Sub-District Plan. It will continue to be protected and regulated by the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District Guidelines for conservation and change (2001 HCD Plan).

The municipal council and municipal heritage committee may consider updating and adopting the 2001 HCD Plan for Adjacent Area 2 under Ontario Heritage Act 41.1 in future.

## 10.9 IMPORTANT VIEWS, VISTAS AND VANTAGE POINTS

Important Views, Vistas and Vantage Points are described in Section 9.3.2. The vantage points are from public places and routes. All efforts will be made to conserve, maximize and enhance the identified features of the views and vistas as well as the vantage points indicated for future generations through the following measures:

- Retain all vantage points in the public realm
- Avoid construction which would block views
- Ensure continuous public access along kinetic view routes
- Consider adding elevated positions to enhance views, or providing public access to places such as the lighthouses where additional interpretive views might be found

While it is recognized that new development will encroach to some extent on views in the harbour area,

- Ensure that the spacing of buildings provides generous openings, through which views to the water may be achieved from new public spaces and routes and from Lakeport Road.

- Ensure that new development is organized to provide frequent views to water. i.e. narrow buildings preferred.

## 10.10 PLACE NAMES

The place and street names in the sub-District, Lock Street, Canal Street, Lakeport Road have important relationships to the marine history of the place, but do not commemorate this place as the traditional territory of the Anishinabek, namely the Mississaugas of the New Credit, who preceded Euro-Canadian settlement for thousands of years.

Front Street which related to the Second Welland Canal frontage was changed to Lakeport Road.

In creating new place or street names:

- Consult with the Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nations to achieve appropriate commemoration of the indigenous history of the 12 Mile Creek.
- Commemorate important figures in pre and post Euro-Canadian settlement.
- Commemorate the marine and Welland Canal history.
- Consider re-instating lost place names in their former locations as appropriate.

## 10.11 ARCHAEOLOGY

The City of St. Catharines has policies regarding archaeology in the Official Plan. Those policies are quoted in full in Appendix A. In addition to those policies, to be consistent with PPS 2.6.5 quoted in Section 5.2, prior to undertaking any projects requiring an archaeological assessment, consultation shall take place with the appropriate indigenous communities regarding the potential for, and appropriate actions to take, in the event of discovery of indigenous burials, traces or artifacts. Details of the appropriate form of consultation should be identified through the Archaeological Management Plan.

# 11.0 IMPLEMENTATION

## 11.1 FEDERAL LANDS WITHIN AND ADJACENT TO THE SUB-DISTRICT

There are federally owned lands in the Sub-District and in lands adjacent to the Sub-District, primarily associated with the Lake Ontario Shoreline, the piers and the Harbour edges. The Ontario Heritage Act does not bind the Crown. Accordingly, a Part V designation by-law does not legally control either provincially owned lands or Federally regulated property or Federally owned property. It has been accepted practice, however, that the Provincial and Federal Governments attempt to harmonize or otherwise complement local initiatives by acting in accord with local planning policies and bylaws.

As there is Crown land within the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District, the appropriate levels of government involved with this land will be informed of these guidelines and the municipality's intentions with respect to the management of change in this heritage environment.

## 11.2 MUNICIPAL POLICY

For the implementation of the Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area HCD Plan City Council may consider the following actions:

The Port Dalhousie Commercial Core and Harbour Area HCD Plan with boundaries as illustrated in this report, be adopted as the HCD Plan for the sub-district of the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation Sub-District under Section 41.1 (Part V) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

All individual properties within the Sub-District be added to the City of St. Catharines's Inventory of Heritage Properties as properties designated under Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act; and that the evaluations of the individual properties and features included in this report be adopted.

Consider designating properties under Part IV.

Section 42 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* (Revised by Bill 60) states that:

*"No owner of property situated in a heritage conservation district that has been designated by a municipality under this Part shall do any of the following, unless the owner obtains a permit from the municipality to do so:*

*1. Alter, or permit the alteration of, any part of the property, other than the interior of any structure or building on the property.*

*2. Erect, demolish or remove any building or structure on the property or permit the erection, demolition or removal of such a building or structure."*

## 11.3 ST. CATHARINES HERITAGE PERMIT PROCESS

The Heritage permit process in the HCDs is as follows. When an application (The St. Catharines Heritage Permit Application form is appended) for a Heritage Permit in an HCD (also for individually designated properties) is received, it is circulated to the St. Catharines Heritage Permit Advisory Committee (SCHPAC) which meets regularly to provide advice and recommendations to City Council and staff regarding heritage permit applications in accordance with the Ontario Heritage Act (OHA). Both the structure of the SCHPAC (2015) and application form (2016) were recently reviewed and revised. Once the SCHPAC makes a recommendation staff is delegated approval authority for all changes save and except for demolitions. In those cases staff prepares a report to Council with the SCHPAC advice and staff recommendation.



## 11.4 WHEN NO HERITAGE PERMIT IS REQUIRED

No heritage permit is required for:

- An alteration that is not visible from the street, public realm or vantage points as identified in 9.3.2;
- Exterior painting of wood, stucco or metal finishes;
- Repair, using the same materials, of existing exterior features, including roofs, wall cladding, dormers, cresting, cupolas, cornices, brackets, columns, balustrades, verandahs and steps, entrances, windows, foundations and decorative wood, metal, or stone;
- Installation of eavestroughs;
- Weatherproofing, including installations of removable storm windows and doors, caulking and weather-stripping; and
- Installation of exterior lights.

Although a heritage permit is not required in the above instances, property owners and residents are encouraged to conform to the spirit and intent of the Heritage Conservation District Plan Guidelines.

## 11.5 DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO CITY STAFF AND MUNICIPAL HERITAGE COMMITTEE

The Ontario Heritage Act provides the following provisions for delegating authority for granting heritage permits.

### DELEGATION

*(16) The council of a municipality may delegate by by-law its power to grant permits for the alteration of property situated in a heritage conservation district designated under this Part to an employee or official of the municipality if the council has established a municipal heritage committee and consulted with it before the delegation. 2005, c. 6, s. 32 (6).*

### SAME

*(17) A by-law under subsection (16) may specify the alterations or classes of alterations in respect of which power to grant permits is delegated to the employee or official of the municipality. 2005, c. 6, s. 32 (6).*

City of St. Catharines By-law No. 2004-277 delegates to city staff and the Municipal Heritage Committee powers to grant heritage permits in consultation with the Building Division.

*2004-277 A By-law to authorize delegation of certain matters to staff.*

*WHEREAS the Council for The Corporation of the City of St. Catharines deems it desirable to focus its time and attention during meetings to more specific policy oriented matters, corporate direction and strategic planning and have hereby agreed to delegate certain decision making powers on various matters outlined herein to staff and in other cases to waive the requirement of preparing the necessary report, relying on staff to clear conditions and authorizing the solicitor to prepare the necessary by-laws dealing with the individual items.*

*NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ST. CATHARINES hereby enacts as follows:*

### 9. HERITAGE PERMITS

*That the requirement to report to Council, on processing of building permits to ensure compliance with heritage policies for properties and areas designated under the Heritage Act, is hereby waived. Requests for approval of compliance with the heritage policies as outlined in the City's official plan, zoning by-law, other applicable legislation and Council policies shall continue to be circulated to the Heritage Committee for their review and recommendation. Upon receiving the recommendation from the Heritage Committee, the Director of Planning Services, or his/her designate, shall be required to forward the approval of these requests to the Building Division of the Transportation*

*and Environmental Services department for further processing.*

Permit applicants are encouraged to meet with planning staff regarding proposed work. These meetings will help City staff to understand the proposal and the degree to which it responds to the Guidelines.

Should an alteration not require a Building Permit but relate to a matter not exempted from the requirement of a Heritage Permit as described above, a separate Heritage Permit may be issued by City staff. These Heritage Permits are for alterations visible from the street including matters such as: new aerials, antennas, skylights, vents, exterior air conditioning units, satellite dishes, masonry cleaning or painting, and replacement of existing architectural features, such as windows.

Although Council has delegated this authority to staff, it can nevertheless decide that it, rather than staff, will consider any given application.

## **11.6 HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATION CONTENT**

Applications that are not part of the building permit process must contain the following information:

- Address of the property, legal description
- Name and address of the property owner.
- Signature of the owner/owners agent authorizing the application.
- Description of the proposed work, including all of the following;
  1. A site plan/sketch showing the location of the proposed work, and planning information regarding the site
  2. eExplanation of the proposed work showing materials, dimensions and extent of the work to be undertaken, and how it fits the conservation intent of the HCD.
  3. Photographs showing the existing building condition where the work is to take place, and research or documentation in support of the proposal may include archival photographs of the property, pictures or plans of similarly styled buildings in the community.

Although Council has delegated this authority to staff, it can nevertheless decide that it, rather than staff, will consider any given application.

The St. Catharines Heritage Permit Application can be downloaded from the City of St. Catharines website:  
<https://www.stcatharines.ca>

## **11.7 WHEN CITY COUNCIL ISSUES HERITAGE PERMITS**

All heritage permits proposing demolitions are decided by Council. All other heritage permits have been delegated to staff. At the discretion of the Director of Planning and Building Services, any heritage permit application can be brought forward to Council for decision.

## **11.8 APPEALING CITY COUNCIL'S DECISION**

The Ontario Heritage Act provides an appeal process. The applicant for a Heritage Permit may appeal the decision of Council on alterations, new construction, or demolition to the Ontario Municipal Board.

## **11.9 HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Notwithstanding the Official Plan requirement to establish an Advisory Committee for each Heritage Conservation District, until 2015 the only Heritage Advisory Committee was for the Port Dalhousie Heritage Conservation District. In 2015 St. Catharines City Council consolidated all heritage permit functions under the St. Catharines Heritage Permit Advisory Committee (SCHPAC).

## **11.10 PROPERTY STANDARDS**

The City of St. Catharines's Property Standards By-Law for Designated Heritage Properties *Law No 2014-248* requires owners to maintain the heritage attributes of their properties. It is attached in Appendix B.

## 12.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Spencer R. Higgins, Heritage Assessment Report, Port Dalhousie Commercial Core, February 2006
  2. St. Catharines Heritage Committee, Port Dalhousie Heritage Resource Inventory, 1998
  3. J.K. Jouprien, Heritage Resource Consultant Inc, The Location of the North Entrance Channel of the 1st Welland Canal, 1824-1829 and a Stage 1-3 Archaeological Assessment of Select Areas at Lakeside park, City of St. Catharines, Regional Municipality of Niagara, AhGt-20,CIF/PIF#P119-011-2008, November, 2008
  4. Phillip Goldsmith, Heritage Assessment, 12 Lock St. and 38, 28, 26, 18, 14 Lakeport Road for The Port Dalhousie Conservancy, 5 June 2013
  5. Mississauga of the New Credit First Nation A Sacred Trust, Video online at <http://www.newcreditfirstnation.com>
  6. Shannon Kyles, Ontario Architecture <http://www.ontarioarchitecture.com> website
  7. John Blumenson, Ontario Architecture: A Guide to Styles and Building Terms, 1784 to the Present
- Collections*  
*Archives of Ontario*  
*Archives University of Western*  
*St. Catharines Public Library Photo Collection*  
*St. Catharines Standard, several articles*

# APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A: OFFICIAL PLAN EXTRACTS

### PART C: GENERAL POLICIES

#### 3. CULTURAL HERITAGE

*Cultural Heritage is the legacy of physical artifacts, including buildings, structures, sites, or landscapes, either individually or in groups, which are considered to be of cultural heritage value or interest at the community, regional, provincial, or national level.*

*Conserving and enhancing our cultural heritage is important not only because it connects us to our past and helps us to interpret our history, but also because it makes economic sense. Heritage can benefit the local economy by attracting visitors to the City, and favorably influencing the decisions of those contemplating new investment or residence in the city.*

*The City's cultural heritage resources have in the past been threatened by neglect, obsolescence, redevelopment, and the lack of the financial means necessary for protection or rehabilitation. The following policies provide the framework for the protection and enhancement of the City's cultural heritage resources.*

#### 3.1. General Policies

1. *The City shall identify cultural heritage resources through a continuing process of inventory, survey, and evaluation.*
2. *The City shall foster awareness and appreciation of the city's cultural heritage and encourage public and private stewardship.*
3. *The City shall support the continuing use, reuse, care, and conservation of cultural heritage resources and properties. established areas of cultural heritage value or interest shall also be subject to the City's Urban Design Guidelines to ensure development is in keeping with the overall character of these areas.*
4. *All City-owned cultural heritage resources shall be conserved and maintained in a state of good repair.*
5. *When a City-owned heritage property is sold, leased, or transferred to another owner, where possible a heritage easement agreement will be secured and barrier free public access maintained to areas with heritage value.*

6. *The City shall encourage the adaptive reuse of heritage properties. Any permitted redevelopment shall ensure, where possible, that the original building fabric and architectural features are retained and restored and that any new additions will complement the existing building.*

#### 3.2. Heritage Designation

1. *The City, in consultation with the St. Catharines Heritage Committee, may designate individual properties, pursuant to Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, if they meet one or more of the following criteria for determining whether it is of cultural heritage value or interest:*
  - a) *The property has design value or physical value because it,*
    - i) *is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method,*
    - ii) *displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit, or*
    - iii) *demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement.*

*b) The property has historical value or associative value because it,*

*i) has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to the community,*

*ii) yields, or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of the community or culture, or*

*iii) demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer, or theorist who is significant to the community.*

*c) The property has contextual value because it,*

*i) is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area,*

*ii) is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings, or*

*iii) is a landmark.*

*2. In reviewing proposals to alter individual property or structures designated under the Ontario Heritage Act, the City will be guided by the general principles contained in*

*Section 3 of this Plan, in addition to the reason for designation.*

*3. To ensure a greater degree of protection to designated resources, the City may enter into agreements with property owners, or may attempt to secure conservation easements, in order to protect those features of a building or structure deemed to have cultural heritage value or interest.*

*4. The City, in consultation with the Heritage Committee, may designate Heritage Conservation Districts, pursuant to Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act, where it has been determined that the district possesses one or more of the following attributes:*

*a) The area contains a group of buildings or features that reflect an aspect of local history through association with a person, group, or activity;*

*b) The area is characterized by buildings and structures that are of cultural heritage value or interest;*

*c) The area contains other important physical and aesthetic characteristics that alone would not be sufficient to warrant designation, but provides an important context or association including such matters as landscape*

*features or archaeological sites;*

*To date, the City has four designated Heritage Conservation Districts – the Queen Street District, the Yates Street District, the Port Dalhousie District, and the Power Glen District. Heritage Districts are designated on Schedule B “Heritage Districts” of this Plan.*

*5. Prior to designating a Heritage Conservation District, the City will:*

*a) By by-law define an area to be examined for future designation;*

*b) Undertake a study pursuant to the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act and any other applicable statutes and regulations;*

*c) Prepare and adopt a Heritage Conservation District Plan pursuant to the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act and any other applicable statutes and regulations;*

*d) Establish for each district a “district committee” that will advise Council on matters pertaining to the designated district where appropriate;*

*e) Be guided by the requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act.*

6. *Within a designated district, it is the intent of the City to conserve and enhance its unique heritage character. The City, in consultation with the district committee will encourage property owners to maintain, repair and restore heritage buildings and seek government grants, loans and other incentive programs for eligible conservation work.*

7. *In reviewing proposals for the construction, demolition, or removal of buildings and structures or the alteration of existing buildings, the City shall be guided by the applicable heritage district plan and the following general principles where there is potential to impact any cultural heritage resources:*

*a) Heritage buildings, associated landscape features and archaeological sites including their surroundings shall be protected from any adverse effects of change;*

*b) Original building fabric and architectural features should be retained, repaired, or restored rather than replaced wherever possible;*

*c) New additions and features should generally be no higher than the existing building and wherever possible be placed to the rear of the building or set*

*back substantially from the principle façade;*

*d) New construction and/or infilling should be compatible with surrounding buildings and streetscapes by being generally of the same height, width and orientation as adjacent buildings; being of similar setback; and using similarly proportioned windows, doors, and roof shape;*

*e) Design, style, materials and colours for new construction will be considered on an individual basis on the premise that contemporary styles can be more appropriate in certain cases than using design styles and motifs from previous periods;*

*f) Public works and landscaping within a designated district should ensure that existing roads and streetscapes are maintained or enhanced and that proposed changes respect and are complementary to the identified heritage character of the district;*

*g) The City shall have regard for cultural heritage resources in undertaking public works. When necessary, the City will require measures to mitigate any negative impacts on significant cultural heritage resources;*

*h) The City shall encourage local utility providers to place equipment and devices in locations which do not detract from the visual character of cultural resources and which do not have a negative impact on the architectural integrity of those resources, where feasible;*

*i) Required road rights-of-way indicated elsewhere in the Official Plan, will be required in designated districts but every effort shall be made to ensure that existing pavement widths, especially where they are major contributors to the character of the streetscapes, will be retained;*

*j) The City shall have regard for cultural heritage resources especially in terms of the character of landscapes and streetscapes, tree lines, bridges and the prevailing pattern of settlement in considering the construction of new roads and road improvements including realignment and road widening. When necessary, the City will require measures to mitigate any negative impacts on significant cultural heritage resources.*

*8. The City may accept easements on real property designated under the Ontario Heritage Act.*

### **3.3. Heritage Register**

*Through a continuing process of inventory and evaluation the City shall maintain, pursuant to the Ontario Heritage Act, a Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.*

*The Register shall contain those lands that have been designated under Part IV and Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act, as well as properties considered to be eligible for future designation. The Heritage Committee will be consulted before a non-designated property is added too, or removed from the Register in accordance with the Ontario Heritage Act.*

*The City may consider designation, under Part IV or Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act, of non-designated properties on the Register after consultation with the Heritage Committee and the affected landowner(s).*

*The owner(s) of a non-designated property on the Register must give the City minimum notice prior to the demolition of any structures on the property as set out in the Ontario Heritage Act. The owner(s) of a property designated under Part IV or Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act must obtain the consent of City Council to allow any erection, demolition, removal or alteration of any buildings or structures on the property, as set out in the Ontario Heritage Act.*

### **3.4. Archaeological Resources**

- 1. An Archaeological Management Plan shall be prepared to inventory archaeological sites, establish procedures for their protection and interpretation, and identify areas of archaeological potential.*
- 2. Until an Archaeological Management Plan is adopted, a development proposal on or adjacent to a property with a known archaeological feature or with archaeological potential will require an assessment by an archaeologist licensed under the Ontario Heritage Act. The archaeological assessment must be carried out in compliance with Provincial standards and guidelines.*
- 3. Development and site alteration shall only be permitted on lands containing archaeological resources or areas exhibiting archaeological potential if the significant archaeological resources have been conserved by removal and documentation, or by preservation on site.*
- 4. Where significant archaeological resources must be preserved in-situ, only development and site alteration which maintain the heritage integrity of the site will be permitted.*

- 5. The City recognizes that, within the boundaries of the municipality and including the Lake Ontario waterfront, there may be marine archaeological remains and resources from the pre-historic period through the modern era, including the remains of ships, boats, vessels, artifacts from the contents of boats and belongings of crew or passengers, weaponry, parts of ship construction, old piers, docks, wharfs, fords, fishing traps, dwellings, aircraft and other items of cultural heritage value. The remains may currently be underwater or were, at one time, underwater but no longer submerged.*

*The City may, prior to approving a development proposal or site alteration, require a marine archaeological survey to be conducted by a licensed marine archaeologist to the satisfaction of the City and the Provincial Ministry of Tourism and Culture, or successor thereto, pursuant to the Ontario Heritage Act. Any marine archaeologist resource that is identified must be reported immediately to the Ministry of Tourism and Culture or successor thereto, and the Ministry shall then determine whether the resource shall be left in-situ or may be removed, through excavation, by licensed marine archaeologists under the direction of the Ministry.*



6. *The City has special regard for the Welland Canals system. Any proponent for development, redevelopment or site alteration on lands within or adjacent to the Welland Canals first, second and third Canal system as generally illustrated on Part G, Appendix 1 of this Plan, will require an archaeological assessment. Significant archaeological resources shall be conserved by removal and documentation, or by preservation on site or in-situ, and other measures may be required to ensure that the heritage integrity of the Canals systems is preserved and promoted.*

### **3.5. Cultural Heritage Landscapes**

1. *A Cultural Heritage Landscape is a defined geographical area characterized by human settlement activities that have resulted in changes and modifications to the environment, which is now considered to be of cultural heritage value or interest. Cultural Heritage Landscapes may include but are not limited to designated heritage conservation districts, urban streetscapes and mainstreets, industrial complexes, neighbourhoods, and designed landscapes such as parks, cemeteries, gardens and rural landscapes.*

2. *The City shall prepare an inventory of Cultural Heritage Landscapes which may be included in the Register of Properties of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, or may be considered for designation under the Ontario Heritage Act, and shall be protected in the carrying out of any undertaking subject to the Environmental Assessment Act or the Planning Act.*

### **3.6. Cultural Heritage Impact Assessments**

1. *A cultural heritage impact assessment may be required by the City and submitted prior to or at the time of any application submission pursuant to the Planning Act where the proposed development, site alteration, or redevelopment of lands (private and public) has the potential to adversely affect cultural heritage resources through displacement or disruption, and including:*

- *destruction, removal or restoration of any, or part of any, heritage attributes or feature;*
- *alteration, including restoration, renovation, repair or disturbance;*
- *shadows created that alter the appearance of a heritage attribute or change the exposure or visibility of a natural feature or plantings;*
- *isolation of a heritage attribute from its surrounding environment, context*

- *or a significant relationship;*
- *direct or indirect obstruction of significant views or vistas from, within, or to a built or natural heritage feature;*
- *a change in land use allowing new development or site alteration to fill in formerly open spaces;*
- *soil disturbance including a change in grade, alteration of the drainage pattern, or excavation.*

2. *Cultural heritage impact assessments may be required in the following instances:*

a) *Properties designated under any part of the Ontario Heritage Act or properties adjacent to properties designated under the Ontario Heritage Act;*

b) *Properties that are included in the City's Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest or adjacent to properties included in the City's Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest;*

c) *A registered or known archaeological site or areas of archaeological potential;*

d) *Properties that comprise or are contained within cultural heritage*

*landscapes that are included in the Registry of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest;*

*e) Infrastructure improvements and other projects subject to a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment where cultural heritage resources may be impacted by the undertaking*

*3. The City shall develop guidelines for the preparation of cultural heritage impact assessments.*

*4. Where cultural heritage resources are to be affected, the City may impose conditions of approval on any planning application to ensure their continued protection.*

*arts community and others in the promotion and development of cultural heritage programs and activities;*

*d) Creation of partnerships with heritage foundations and other groups and organizations;*

*e) The use of preferred or beneficial zoning in exchange for the preservation of on-site buildings, landscapes, or streetscapes of cultural heritage value or interest, as provided for in Part F, Section 16.8 of the Plan.*

*f) The consideration of the preservation and enhancement of cultural heritage resources as a condition of planning approvals.*

### **3.7. Implementation**

*The City may encourage the conservation and enhancement of cultural heritage resources through the following methods:*

*a) Participation in the programs of senior levels of government intended for the protection and restoration of cultural heritage resources;*

*b) The consideration of funding programs to aid in the protection and restoration of heritage resources;*

*c) Support the engagement of the*

## **APPENDIX B: PROPERTY STANDARDS BY-LAW NO. 2014-248**

### **SECTION 8 DESIGNATED HERITAGE PROPERTIES**

#### **8.1 General**

8.1.1 *In addition to the minimum Standards for the maintenance and occupancy of Property set out elsewhere in this by-law, the Owner or Occupant of a Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property shall:*

*(i) maintain, preserve and protect the Heritage Attributes so as to maintain the heritage character, visual and structural heritage integrity of the building or structure;*

*(ii) maintain the Property in a manner that will ensure the protection and preservation of the Heritage Attributes and values; and*

32

*(iii) where required, obtain a heritage permit prior to performing required work or causing any required work to be performed under this section of the bylaw.*

#### **8.2 Repair of Heritage Attributes**

8.2.1 *Despite any other provisions of this by-law, where a Heritage Attribute of a Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property can be Repaired, the Heritage Attribute shall not be replaced and instead shall be Repaired:*

*(i) in such a manner that minimizes damage to the Heritage Attributes and values and maintains the design, colour, texture, grain or other distinctive features of the Heritage Attribute;*

*(ii) using the same types of material as the original and in keeping with the design, colour, texture, grain and any other distinctive features of the original; and*

*(iii) where the same types of material as the original are no longer available, alternative types of material that replicate the design, colour, texture, grain or other distinctive features and appearance of the original material may be used, if approved by the Director.*

#### **8.3 Replacement of Heritage Attributes**

8.3.1 *Despite any other provisions of this by-law, where a Heritage Attribute of a Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property cannot be Repaired, as determined by the Director, the Heritage Attribute shall be replaced:*

*(i) in such a manner as to replicate the design, colour, texture, grain and other distinctive features and appearance of the Heritage Attribute, using the same types of material as the original; and*

*(ii) where the same types of material as the original are no longer available, alternative types of material that replicate the design, colour, texture, grain or other distinctive features and appearance of the original material may be used, if approved by the Director.*

#### **8.4 Clearing and Leveling of Heritage Properties**

8.4.1 *Despite any other provision of this by-law or the Ontario Building Code Act, no building or structure located on a Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property may be altered, demolished, removed or relocated except in accordance with the Ontario Heritage Act.*

## **8.5 Vacant Heritage Properties**

*8.5.1 Where a Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property is deemed to be vacant, the Owner shall ensure that appropriate utilities serving the building are connected as required in order to provide, maintain and monitor proper heating, ventilation and exterior entrance lighting to prevent damage to the Heritage Attributes.*

*8.5.2 The Owner of a vacant Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property shall protect the building and Property against the risk of fire, storm, neglect, intentional damage or damage by other causes by effectively preventing the entrance of the elements, unauthorized Persons or the infestation of pests by boarding up with appropriate materials including wood or plexiglass and securing any openings to the building.*

*8.5.3 All boards used for boarding or securing any opening to a Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property shall be installed from the exterior and shall be properly fitted in a watertight manner, fastened securely, and fit in such a manner so that all exterior trim and cladding remains uncovered and undamaged by the boarding.*

*8.5.4 Except where plexiglass is used, all boards used for boarding or securing an opening to a Part IV Heritage Property or Part V Heritage Property shall be painted or*

*otherwise treated so that the colour blends with the exterior of the building or structure.*

*8.5.5 If not already in place, an exterior lighting fixture which provides adequate lighting shall be installed and/or maintained at all exterior building entrances, and such fixture may be activated by motion sensors.*

## **8.6 Conflict**

*8.6.1 In the event of a conflict between the provisions of Section 8 of this by-law and any other provision of this by-law or the Ontario Building Code Act or the Ontario Heritage Act, the provision that establishes the highest standard for the protection of the Heritage Attributes shall prevail.*

**APPENDIX C:  
PROVINCIAL POLICY STATEMENT  
EXTRACT (2.6.1-5)**

**2.6 CULTURAL HERITAGE AND  
ARCHAEOLOGY**

*2.6.1 Significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved.*

*2.6.2 Development and site alteration shall not be permitted on lands containing archaeological resources or areas of archaeological potential unless significant archaeological resources have been conserved.*

*2.6.3 Planning authorities shall not permit development and site alteration on adjacent lands to protected heritage property except where the proposed development and site alteration has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that the heritage attributes of the protected heritage property will be conserved.*

*2.6.4 Planning authorities should consider and promote archaeological management plans and cultural plans in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources.*

*2.6.5 Planning authorities shall consider the interests of Aboriginal communities in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources.*